arXiv:1612.00806v1 [math.PR] 2 Dec 2016

Incomplete determinantal processes: from random matrix to Poisson statistics

Gaultier Lambert*†

Abstract

We study linear statistics of a class of determinantal processes which interpolate between Poisson and GUE/Ginibre statistics in dimension 1 or 2. These processes are obtained by performing an independent Bernoulli percolation on the particle configuration of a Coulomb gas with logarithmic interaction confined in a general potential. We show that, depending on the expected number of deleted particles, there is a universal transition for mesoscopic statistics. Namely, at small scales, the point process still behaves like a Coulomb gas, while, at large scales, it needs to be renormalized because the variance of any linear statistic diverges. The crossover is explicitly characterized as the superposition of a $H^{1/2}$- or H^1- correlated Gaussian noise and an independent Poisson process. The proof consists in computing the limits of the cumulants of linear statistics using the asymptotics of the correlation kernel of the process.

1 introduction

In this notes, we consider a log-gas, also called β-ensemble or one component plasma, in dimension 1 or 2 at inverse temperature $\beta = 2$. Let $\mathfrak{X} = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$ equipped with the Lebesgue measure $d\mu = dx$ or the area measure $d\mu = d\mathrm{A} = \frac{rdrd\theta}{\pi}$ respectively, and let $V : \mathfrak{X} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function such that there exists $\nu > 0$ so that

$$V(z) \geq (1+\nu)\log|z| \quad \text{as} \quad |z| \to \infty. \tag{1.1}$$

We consider the probability measure on $\mathfrak{X}^N$ with density $G_N(x) = e^{-\beta \mathscr{H}_V^N(x)}/Z_V^N$ where the Hamiltonian is

$$\mathscr{H}_V^N(x) = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \log|x_i - x_j|^{-1} + N\sum_{j=1}^N V(x_j). \tag{1.2}$$

*KTH Royal Institute of Technology, Department of Mathematics. Supported by the grant KAW 2010.0063 from the Knut and Alice Wallenberg Foundation.

†Department of Mathematics, University of Zurich, gaultier.lambert@math.uzh.ch

The condition $\beta = 2$ implies that if the configuration $(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_N)$ is distributed according to G_N, then the point process $\Xi := \sum_{k=1}^{N} \delta_{\lambda_k}$ is determinantal with a correlation kernel:

$$K_V^N(z, w) = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \varphi_k(z)\varphi_k(w) \tag{1.3}$$

with respect to μ. Moreover, for all $k \geq 0$,

$$\varphi_k(x) = P_k(x)e^{-NV(x)}, \tag{1.4}$$

where $\{P_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ is the sequence of orthonormal polynomials with respect to the weight $e^{-2NV(x)}$ on $L^2(\mu)$. It turns out that when $\beta = 2$, the Hamiltonian (1.2) also describes the eigenvalues of the ensembles of Hermitian (or complex normal) matrices with weight $e^{-2NV(M)}$ on $\mathfrak{X} = \mathbb{R}$ (or $\mathfrak{X} = \mathbb{C}$). In particular, when $V(z) = |z|^2$, these correspond to the well-know Gaussian Unitary (GUE) or Ginibre ensembles respectively.

In the following, we consider the so-called *incomplete* or *thinned point process* which arises after performing a Bernoulli percolation on the configuration of the process Ξ. This process, denoted by $\hat{\Xi}$, is obtained by deleting independently each particle with probability q_N or by keeping it with probability $p_N = 1 - q_N$ and it is determinantal with correlation kernel $p_N K_V^N(z, w)$; see the appendix A for a background overview. This procedure was introduced in context of random matrix theory by Bohigas and Pato, [7, 8], in order to investigate a crossover to Poisson statistics. These types of transitions are supposed to arise in various context in statistical physics, such as the Anderson metal-insulator transition, the crossover from chaotic to regular dynamics in the theory of quantum billiards, or in the spectrum of certain band random matrices, see [45, 23, 24] and reference therein. Although such transitions are believed to be non-universal, the model of Bohigas and Pato is arguably one of the most tractable to study this phenomenon because it is determinantal. Note that, based on the theory of [26], an alternative correlation kernel for this process is given by

$$\widehat{K}_V^N(z, w) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} J_k \varphi_k(z)\varphi_k(w) \tag{1.5}$$

where $(J_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of i.i.d. Bernoulli random variables with expected values $\mathbb{E}[J_k] = p_N \mathbf{1}_{k<N}$. This shows that removing particles builds up randomness in the system and when the disorder becomes sufficiently strong, it will behave like a Poisson process rather than according to random matrix theory. This heuristics applies to general determinantal processes as well and it is straightforward to check that the method presented in section 2 also applies to the sine or the ∞-Ginibre processes which describes the local limits of the log-gases in dimensions 1 and 2 respectively. In fact, this paper is motivated by an analogous result obtained recently by Berggren and Duits for smooth linear statistics of the incomplete sine and CUE processes, [5]. Based on the fact

that these processes come from integrable operators, they fully characterized the transition for a large class of mesoscopic linear statistics using the corresponding Riemann-Hilbert problem described in [19]. In particular, they obtain analogous crossover for both models and suggested that this should be universal for thinned point processes coming from random matrix theory. Prior to [5], they have also been results for the gap probabilities of the critical thinned ensembles. In [12, 13], for the sine process, Deift et al. computed very detailed asymptotics for the crossover from the *Wigner surmise* to the exponential distribution making rigorous a prediction of Dyson, [22], and Charlier and Claeys obtained an analogous result for the CUE, [16]. The contribution of this paper is to elaborate on universality for smooth linear statistics of β-ensemble in dimension 1 or 2 when $\beta = 2$. Although our proof still relies on the determinantal structure of these models, instead of the connection with Riemann-Hilbert problems used in the previous works, we apply the cumulants method, which has a combinatorial flavor and appears to be robust to study the asymptotic fluctuations of smooth linear statistics.

To keep the proofs as simple as possible, we will restrict ourself to real-analytic V, although the results should be valid for more general potential as well (specially in dimension 1 where the asymptotics of the correlation kernels have been studied in great generality). We keep track of the crossover by looking at linear statistics $\widehat{\Xi}(f)$ of smooth test functions. The random matrix regime is characterized by the property that the fluctuations of the random variable $\widehat{\Xi}(f)$ are of order 1 and described by a *universal Gaussian noise* as the number of particles $N \to \infty$. On the other hand, in the Poisson regime, the variance of any non-trivial statistic diverges and, once properly renormalized, the point process converges to a *white noise*. In the remainder of this introduction, we will formulate our assumptions and limit theorems for the 2-dimensional log-gases. We refer to section 4 and 5 for the analogous results in dimension 1. The proofs consist in applying the cumulant method introduced by Soshnikov, [43], to describe the asymptotic laws of linear statistics of the incomplete process $\widehat{\Xi}$. The details of our strategy are explained in section 2 and, in particular, they do not depend on the dimension.

In what follows, we let $\mathcal{C}_0^k(\mathscr{S})$ be the set of function with k continuous derivatives and compact support in $\mathscr{S} \subset \mathfrak{X}$ and we use the notation:

$$\partial = (\partial_x - i\partial_y)/2 \ , \qquad \overline{\partial} = (\partial_x + i\partial_y)/2 \qquad \text{and} \qquad \Delta = \partial\overline{\partial}.$$

If the potential V is real-analytic and satisfies the condition (1.1), then the log-gas is asymptotically distributed on a compact set $\mathscr{D}_V \subset \mathbb{C}$ which is called the *droplet* and its equilibrium density is given by $\varrho_V := 2\Delta V \mathbf{1}_{\mathscr{D}_V}$ with respect to the area measure $d\mathrm{A}$. Note that this density may vanish inside the droplet and the *bulk* is defined by

$$\mathscr{S}_V := \mathscr{D}_V^\circ \cap \big\{ z \in \mathbb{C} : \Delta V(z) > 0 \big\}. \tag{1.6}$$

It is well known that the fluctuations of the eigenvalues of normal random matrices around the equilibrium configuration are described by a centered Gaussian process X with correlation structure:

$$\mathbb{E}\big[\mathrm{X}(f)\mathrm{X}(g)\big] = \frac{1}{4}\int_{\mathbb{C}} \nabla f(z)\cdot\nabla g(z)\; d\mathrm{A}(z) = \int_{\mathbb{C}} \partial f(z)\overline{\partial} g(z) d\mathrm{A}(z), \tag{1.7}$$

for any (real-valued) smooth functions f and g. Modulo constant functions, the RHS of formula (1.7) defines an Hilbert space, denoted $H^1(\mathbb{C})$, with norm:

$$\|f\|^2_{H^1(\mathbb{C})} = \int_{\mathbb{C}} |\partial f(z)|^2\, d\mathrm{A}(z). \tag{1.8}$$

It was proved in [3] that for any smooth function f with compact support,

$$\Xi(f) - \mathbb{E}\big[\Xi(f)\big] \Rightarrow \mathrm{X}(f^\dagger) \tag{1.9}$$

as $N\to\infty$, where $f^\dagger$ is the (unique) continuous and bounded function on $\mathbb{C}$ such that $f^\dagger = f$ on the droplet $\mathscr{D}_V$ and $\Delta f^\dagger = 0$ on $\mathbb{C}\backslash\mathscr{D}_V$. This CLT was first established for the Ginibre process by Rider and Viràg in [40] for $\mathcal{C}^1$ test function with at most exponential growth at infinity. Moreover, if $\mathrm{supp}(f)\subset\mathscr{S}_V$, then the function $f^\dagger = f$ on $\mathbb{C}$ and the CLT was obtained previously in the paper [2] from which part of the method below is inspired.

We now come to our results. In order to describe the crossover, let Λ_η be a mean-zero Poisson process with intensity $\eta\in L^\infty(\mathfrak{X})$. This process is characterized by the fact that for any function $f\in\mathcal{C}_0(\mathfrak{X})$, we have

$$\log\mathbb{E}\big[\exp\Lambda_\nu(f)\big] = \int_{\mathfrak{X}} \big(e^{f(z)} - 1 - f(z)\big)\eta(z)d\mu(z). \tag{1.10}$$

Theorem 1.1. *Let* X *be a* H^1*-Gaussian noise and* $\Lambda_{\tau\varrho_V}$ *be an independent Poisson process with intensity* $\tau\varrho_V$ *where* $\tau>0$ *defined on the same probability space. Let* $f\in\mathcal{C}^3_0(\mathscr{S}_V)$*,* $p_N = 1-q_N$*, and let* $T_N = Nq_N$*. As* $N\to\infty$ *and* $q_N\to 0$*, we have*

$$\widehat{\Xi}(f) - \mathbb{E}\big[\widehat{\Xi}(f)\big] \Rightarrow \mathrm{X}(f) \qquad \textit{if}\;\; T_N\to 0, \tag{1.11}$$

$$\frac{\widehat{\Xi}(f) - \mathbb{E}\big[\widehat{\Xi}(f)\big]}{\sqrt{T_N}} \Rightarrow \mathcal{N}\left(0, \int f(z)^2\varrho_V(z)d\mathrm{A}(z)\right) \qquad \textit{if}\;\; T_N\to\infty, \tag{1.12}$$

$$\widehat{\Xi}(f) - \mathbb{E}\big[\widehat{\Xi}(f)\big] \Rightarrow \mathrm{X}(f) + \Lambda_{\tau\varrho_V}(-f) \qquad \textit{if}\;\; T_N\to\tau. \tag{1.13}$$

The proof of theorem 1.1 relies on the approximation of the correlation kernel K_V^N used in [2], see lemma 3.1 below, and it restricts us to work with test functions which are supported inside the bulk. However, the result should be true for general functions if we replace f by $f^\dagger$ on the RHS of (1.11) and (1.13). In fact, for the Ginibre ensemble, $V(z) = |z|^2$, or other nice rotationally invariant potential, one can also apply the combinatorial method of [40] to prove

the counterpart of theorem 1.1 for any test function $f(z)$ which is a bi-variate polynomial in z and $\overline{z}$.

In the regime $Nq_N \to 0$, virtually no particles are deleted and linear statistics behave according to random matrix theory. On the other hand, in the regime $Nq_N \to \infty$, the variance of any linear statistic diverges and the random variable $\hat{\Xi}(f)$ has to be normalized to get a classical CLT. Moreover, formula (1.12) shows that the normalized process converges to a white noise supported on the droplet $\mathscr{D}_V$ whose intensity is the equilibrium measure ϱ_V. In the critical regime, when the expected number of deleted particles equals $\tau > 0$, (1.13) shows that the limiting process is the superposition of a H^1-correlated Gaussian noise and an independent mean-zero Poisson process applied to $-f$. Finally, by using formula (1.10), it is not difficult to check that, as $\tau \to \infty$, the random variable

$$\Lambda_{\tau\varrho_V}(-f)/\sqrt{\tau} \Rightarrow \mathcal{N}\left(0, \int f(z)^2 \varrho_V(z) d\mathrm{A}(z)\right),$$

so that the critical law interpolates between the regimes (1.11) and (1.12).

The counterpart of theorem 1.1 also holds in the mesoscopic regime. The density of the log-gas is of order N and one can also investigate statistics by zooming in the bulk of the process. Namely, if $L_N \nearrow \infty$, $x_0 \in \mathscr{S}_V$, and $f \in \mathcal{C}_0(\mathbb{C})$, we consider the test function

$$f_N(z) = f\big(L_N(z - x_0)\big). \tag{1.14}$$

The regime $L_N = N^{1/\mathrm{d}}$ is called microscopic and it was shown in [2, Proposition 7.5.1] that

$$\Xi(f_N) \Rightarrow \Xi^{\infty}_{\varrho_V(x_0)}(f),$$

where the process Ξ^{∞}_{ρ} is called the **∞-Ginibre process** with density $\rho > 0$. It is a determinantal process on $\mathbb{C}$ with correlation kernel

$$K^{\infty}_{\rho}(z, w) = \rho e^{\rho(2z\overline{w} - |z|^2 - |w|^2)/2}. \tag{1.15}$$

Based on the same argument, it is not difficult to verify that the incomplete process has a local limit as well:

$$\widehat{\Xi}(f_N) \Rightarrow \widehat{\Xi}^{\infty,p}_{\varrho_V(x_0)}(f) \qquad \text{as} \quad p_N \to p \quad \text{and} \quad N \to \infty. \tag{1.16}$$

For any $0 < p \leq 1$, the process $\hat{\Xi}^{\infty,p}_{\varrho}$ is determinantal with correlation kernel $pK^{\infty}_{\varrho}(z, w)$. This process is constructed by running an independent Bernoulli percolation with parameter p on the point configuration on the ∞-Ginibre process with density $\rho > 0$. When $p = 1$, it coincides with the ∞-Ginibre process and (1.16) shows that one needs to delete a non-vanishing fraction of the N particles of the gas in order to get a local limit which is different from random

matrix theory. It was proved in [39] that, as the density $\rho \to \infty$, the fluctuations of the ∞-Ginibre process are of order 1 and described by the H^1-Gaussian noise:

$$\Xi_\rho^\infty(f) - \rho \int_{\mathbb{C}} f(z) d\mathrm{A}(z) \;\Rightarrow \mathrm{X}(f)$$

for any $f \in H^1 \cap L^1(\mathbb{C})$. Therefore it is expected that, in the mesoscopic regime, i.e. $L_N \prec \sqrt{N}$, the asymptotic fluctuations of the linear statistic $\Xi(f_N)$ are universal and described by $\mathrm{X}(f)$. However, to our best knowledge, a proof was missing from the literature and, in section 3, we show that this fact can be derived by combining the ideas of [39] and [2].

Theorem 1.2. *Let $x_0 \in \mathscr{S}_V$, $f \in \mathcal{C}_0^3(\mathbb{C})$, $\alpha \in (0, 1/2)$, and let f_N be given by formula* (1.14) *with $L_N = N^\alpha$. Then, the mesoscopic linear statistics*

$$\Xi(f_N) - \mathbb{E}\big[\Xi(f_N)\big] \Rightarrow \mathrm{X}(f)$$

as $N \to \infty$.

Using the same method, we can also analyze the fluctuations of smooth mesoscopic linear statistics of the incomplete process.

Theorem 1.3. *Let* X *be a H^1-Gaussian noise and Λ_τ be an independent Poisson process with intensity $\tau > 0$ on $\mathbb{C}$. Let $x_0 \in \mathscr{S}_V$, $f \in \mathcal{C}_0^3(\mathscr{S}_V)$, $\alpha \in (0, 1/2)$, and let f_N be the mesoscopic test function given by formula* (1.14) *with $L_N = N^\alpha$. We also let $p_N = 1 - q_N$ and $T_N = N q_N L_N^{-2} \varrho_V(x_0)$. We have*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\widehat{\Xi}(f_N) - \mathbb{E}\big[\widehat{\Xi}(f_N)\big] \Rightarrow \mathrm{X}(f) && \text{if } \; T_N \to 0,\\
&\frac{\widehat{\Xi}(f_N) - \mathbb{E}\big[\widehat{\Xi}(f_N)\big]}{\sqrt{T_N}} \Rightarrow \mathcal{N}\left(0, \int f(z)^2 d\mathrm{A}(z)\right) && \text{if } \; T_N \to \infty,\\
&\widehat{\Xi}(f_N) - \mathbb{E}\big[\widehat{\Xi}(f_N)\big] \Rightarrow \mathrm{X}(f) + \Lambda_\tau(-f) && \text{if } \; T_N \to \tau,
\end{aligned}$$

as $N \to \infty$ and $q_N \to 0$.

This result shows that, at mesoscopic scales, the transition occurs when the *mesoscopic density of deleted particles* given by the parameter T_N converges to a positive constant τ. It is interesting that we obtain a crossover where the fluctuations are non-Gaussian and can be described in a simple way. This is to be compared to other analogous transitions for determinantal processes on $\mathbb{R}$. For instance, one can obtain a crossover from Poisson to GUE eigenvalues by letting independent points evolved according to Dyson's Brownian motion. This leads to a determinantal process sometimes called the *deformed GUE* whose kernel depends on the diffusion time τ, [28]. The transition for mesoscopic linear statistics has been analyzed in [21] and it was proved that the critical fluctuations are Gaussian but the variance depends non-trivially on the test function. One can also consider non-intersecting Brownian motions on a cylinder. It turns out that this point process describes the positions of free fermions confined in a harmonic trap at a temperature $\tau > 0$. It was established in [30], see also

[18], that the corresponding grand canonical ensemble is determinantal with a correlation kernel of the form (1.5) with for all $k \geq 0$,

$$\mathbb{E}[J_k] = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(\frac{k-N}{\tau})}.$$

For sufficiently small temperature, this system behaves like its ground-state, the GUE, while it behaves like a Poisson process at larger temperature. It was proved in [31] that this leads to yet another crossover where non-Gaussian fluctuations are observe at the critical temperature. However, to the author's knowledge, in contrast to the incomplete ensembles considered in this note, the critical processes discovered in [31] cannot be described in simple terms like (1.13).

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we review Soshnikov's cumulants method and how to apply it to the incomplete ensemble $\hat{\Xi}$. In section 3, we prove theorems 1.1–1.3 for the 2-dimensional log-gases. The proof relies on estimates for the correlation kernel (1.3) which come from the paper [2] and are collected in the appendix B. The counterparts of theorem 1.1 and theorem 1.3 in dimension 1 are stated and proved in section 4 and 5 respectively. In the global regime, we give a combinatorial proof which is based on the asymptotics of the recurrence coefficients of the orthogonal polynomials (1.4). This argument can be generalized to other biorthogonal ensembles; see remark 4.1. In the mesoscopic regime, the analysis is similar to the two-dimensional case and relies on the asymptotics of the correlation kernel K_V^N and the techniques introduced in [34]. Finally, $C > 0$ denotes a numerical constant which changes from line to line and, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we let for all $\mathrm{x} \in \mathfrak{X}^n$,

$$d\mu^n(\mathrm{x}) = d\mu(x_1) \cdots d\mu(x_n).$$

Acknowledgements

I thank Tomas Berggren and Maurice Duits from which I learned about the model of Bohigas and Pato, for sharing their inspiring work with me and for the valuable discussions which followed. I also thank Mariusz Hynek for reading this draft and for many interesting discussions.

2 Outline of the proof

If (u_N) and (v_N) are two sequences, we will use the notation:

$$\mathrm{u}_N \simeq \mathrm{v}_N \quad \text{if} \quad \lim_{N\to\infty} (\mathrm{u}_N - \mathrm{v}_N) = 0$$

and

$$\mathrm{u}_N \prec \mathrm{v}_N \quad \text{if} \quad \lim_{N\to\infty} \mathrm{u}_N/\mathrm{v}_N = 0.$$

Suppose that Ξ is a determinantal point process on $\mathfrak{X}$ with a correlation kernel $K \in L^2(\mathfrak{X} \times \mathfrak{X}, \mu \times \mu)$ which is reproducing:

$$\int_{\mathfrak{X}} K(z,x)K(x,w)d\mu(x) = K(z,w) \tag{2.1}$$

for all $z, w \in \mathfrak{X}$. Since it is the case for most ensembles, we shall also assume that the kernel $K(z,w)$ is continuous on $\mathfrak{X} \times \mathfrak{X}$. The cumulants method to analyze the asymptotic distribution of linear statistic of determinantal processes goes back to the work of Costin and Lebowitz, [17], for count statistics of the sine process and the general theory was developed by Soshnikov, [42, 43, 44], and subsequently applied to many different ensembles coming from random matrix theory, see for instance [40, 39, 2, 14, 15, 31, 34, 35]. In this section, we show how to implement it to describe the asymptotics law of linear statistics of the incomplete ensemble $\hat{\Xi}$ with correlation kernel $pK(z,w)$ when $0 < p < 1$.

Let

$$\mho = \bigcup_{l=1}^{\infty} \big\{\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_l) \in \mathbb{N}^l\big\}$$

and let $\ell(\mathbf{k}) = l$ denote the length of $\mathbf{k}$. Then, the set of compositions of the integer $n > 0$ is

$$\big\{\mathbf{k} \vdash n\big\} = \big\{\mathbf{k} \in \mho : k_1 + \cdots + k_l = n\big\}.$$

We denote by $n \in \mho$ the trivial composition. For any map $\Upsilon : \mho \mapsto \mathbb{R}$, for any function $f : \mathfrak{X} \to \mathbb{R}$, and for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we define for all $x \in \mathfrak{X}^n$,

$$\Upsilon^n[f](x) = \sum_{\mathbf{k} \vdash n} \Upsilon(\mathbf{k}) \prod_{1 \le j \le \ell(\mathbf{k})} f(x_j)^{k_j}. \tag{2.2}$$

If $\mathbf{k} \vdash n$, we let $\mathrm{M}(\mathbf{k}) = \dfrac{n!}{k_1! \cdots k_l!}$ be the multinomial coefficient and for all integers $n \ge 1$ and $m \in \{0, \dots, n\}$, we define the coefficients

$$\gamma_m^n = \sum_{\mathbf{k} \vdash n} \frac{(-1)^{\ell(\mathbf{k})}}{\ell(\mathbf{k})} \binom{\ell(\mathbf{k})}{m} \mathrm{M}(\mathbf{k}). \tag{2.3}$$

We will also use the notation: $\delta_k(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = k \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$ for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Lemma 2.1. *For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $\gamma_0^n = \delta_1(n)$ and $\gamma_1^n = (-1)^n$.*

Proof. The coefficients (2.3) have the generating function:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{n} \gamma_m^n \frac{x^n q^m}{n!} = -\log\big(1 + (1+q)(e^x - 1)\big).$$

In particular, setting $q = 0$, we see that $\gamma_0^n = \delta_1(n)$. Moreover, since

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_1^n \frac{x^n}{n!} = \frac{d}{dq} \log\big(1 + (1+q)(e^x - 1)\big)\Big|_{q=0} = 1 - e^{-x},$$

we also see that $\gamma_1^n = (-1)^n$. □

Given a test function $f : \mathfrak{X} \to \mathbb{R}$, the cumulant generating function of the random variable $\Xi(f)$ is

$$\log \mathbb{E}\big[\exp\{\lambda \Xi(f)\}\big] = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^n}{n!} \mathrm{C}_K^n[f].$$

It is known that under general assumptions, the cumulants $\mathrm{C}_K^n[f]$ characterized the law of the linear statistics $\Xi(f)$ and it was proved by Soshnikov that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\mathrm{C}_K^n[f] = -\sum_{l=1}^{n} \frac{(-1)^l}{l} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{k} \vdash n \\ \ell(\mathbf{k}) = l}} \mathrm{M}(\mathbf{k}) \int_{\substack{\mathfrak{X}^l \\ x_0 = x_l}} f(x_1)^{k_1} \cdots f(x_l)^{k_l} \prod_{1 \leq j \leq l} K(x_j, x_{j-1}) d\mu^l(x), \tag{2.4}$$

whenever this formula makes sense. Note that we use the convention that the variables x_0 and x_l are identified in the previous integral. If the correlation kernel K is reproducing, then we can rewrite this formula:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{C}_K^n[f] &= -\sum_{\mathbf{k} \vdash n} \frac{(-1)^{\ell(\mathbf{k})}}{\ell(\mathbf{k})} \mathrm{M}(\mathbf{k}) \int_{\substack{\mathfrak{X}^n \\ x_0 = x_n}} f(x_1)^{k_1} \cdots f(x_l)^{k_l} \prod_{1 \leq j \leq n} K(x_j, x_{j-1}) d\mu^n(x) \\ &= -\int_{\substack{\mathfrak{X}^n \\ x_0 = x_n}} \Upsilon_0^n[f](x) \prod_{1 \leq j \leq n} K(x_j, x_{j-1}) d\mu^n(x), \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

where for any $\mathbf{k} \in \mathfrak{V}$,

$$\Upsilon_0(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{(-1)^{\ell(\mathbf{k})}}{\ell(\mathbf{k})} \mathrm{M}(\mathbf{k}). \tag{2.6}$$

A simple observation which turns out to be very important when it comes to the asymptotic analysis is that, by lemma 2.1, for all $n \geq 2$,

$$\sum_{\mathbf{k} \vdash n} \Upsilon_0(\mathbf{k}) = \gamma_0^n = 0. \tag{2.7}$$

For any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we define

$$\begin{cases} \Upsilon_m(\mathbf{k}) = \dfrac{(-1)^{\ell(\mathbf{k})}}{\ell(\mathbf{k})} \dbinom{\ell(\mathbf{k})}{m} \mathrm{M}(\mathbf{k}) & \text{if } \ell(\mathbf{k}) \geq 2 \\ \Upsilon_m(\mathbf{k}) = -\delta_1(m) - \gamma_m^n & \text{if } \mathbf{k} = n \end{cases}. \tag{2.8}$$

By convention $\binom{l}{m} = 0$ if $m > l$ and these functions are constructed so that we have for all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\sum_{\mathbf{k} \vdash n} \Upsilon_m(\mathbf{k}) = 0. \tag{2.9}$$

Let $0 < q < 1$ and $p = 1 - q$. According to formula (2.4), the cumulants of the process $\hat{\Xi}$ with correlation kernel $\widehat{K} = pK$ are given by

$$\mathrm{C}^n_{\widehat{K}}[f] = -\sum_{l=1}^{n} \frac{(-1)^l}{l} p^l \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{k} \vdash n \\ \ell(\mathbf{k}) = l}} \mathrm{M}(\mathbf{k}) \int_{\substack{\mathfrak{X}^l \\ x_0 = x_l}} f(x_1)^{k_1} \cdots f(x_l)^{k_l} \prod_{1 \leq j \leq l} K(x_j, x_{j-1}) d\mu^l(x)$$

and since the kernel K is reproducing, using the binomial formula, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{C}^n_{\widehat{K}}[f] = \mathrm{C}^n_K[f] &- \sum_{m=1}^{n} (-q)^m \gamma^n_m \int_{\mathfrak{X}} f(x)^n K(x,x) d\mu(x) \\ &- \sum_{m=1}^{n} (-q)^m \int_{\substack{\mathfrak{X}^n \\ x_0 = x_n}} \Upsilon^n_m[f](x) \prod_{1 \leq j \leq n} K(x_j, x_{j-1}) d\mu^n(x). \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

Now, let $(K^N)_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of reproducing kernels and suppose that there exists a probability measure μ_* so that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\int_{\mathfrak{X}} f(x)^n K^N(x,x) d\mu(x) \simeq N \int_{\mathfrak{X}} f(x)^n d\mu_*(x). \tag{2.11}$$

We also assume that there exists $\kappa > 0$ such that for all $n \geq 2$ and all $m \geq 1$,

$$\left| \int_{\substack{\mathfrak{X}^n \\ x_0 = x_n}} \Upsilon^n_m[f](x) \prod_{1 \leq j \leq n} K^N(x_j, x_{j-1}) d\mu^n(x) \right| \prec (\log N)^\kappa. \tag{2.12}$$

In the case of the log-gases, formula (2.11) follows either from the asymptotics of the correlation kernel (1.3) or from potential theory and μ_* is called the equilibrium measure. Moreover, under the assumption (1.1), this measure is absolutely continuous and it has compact support. The main technical challenge of this paper is to verify that the estimate (2.12) holds for a large class of test functions. In fact, if f is smooth, we expect these integrals to be uniformly bounded in N for general determinantal processes but a logarithmic error is allowed for our applications. In the remainder of this section, we will show how these assumptions and the CLT for the classical log-gas imply theorem 1.1. The proofs of theorem 1.3, as well as theorems 4.1 and 5.1 in the 1-dimensional setting, all follow the same strategy and the details are given in sections 3, 4 and 5 respectively.

For a 2-dimensional log-gas, the equilibrium measure satisfies $d\mu_* = \varrho_V d\mathrm{A}$ where $\varrho_V = 2\Delta V \mathbf{1}_{\mathscr{D}_V}$ and, by lemma 3.1 below, formula (2.11) holds for any

test function $f \in \mathcal{C}_0(\mathscr{S}_V)$. Moreover, it was also proved in [2, Theorem 4.4] that if $f \in \mathcal{C}_0^3(\mathscr{S}_V)$, then

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \mathrm{C}^n_{K_V^N}[f] = \begin{cases} \|f\|^2_{H^1(\mathbb{C})} & \text{if } n = 2 \\ 0 & \text{if } n > 2 \end{cases}. \tag{2.13}$$

Let $0 < q_N < 1$ be a sequence which converges to 0 as $N \to \infty$, $p_N = 1 - q_N$ and let $\widehat{K}_V^N = p_N K_V^N$. By formula (2.10), for any function $f \in \mathcal{C}_0^3(\mathscr{S}_V)$ which satisfies the condition (2.12), we obtain

$$\mathrm{C}^n_{\widehat{K}_V^N}[f] = \mathrm{C}^n_{K_V^N}[f] + T_N \int_{\mathbb{C}} f(z)^n \varrho_V(z) d\mathrm{A}(z) + \underset{N\to\infty}{o}(T_N), \tag{2.14}$$

where $T_N = Nq_N$. In the regime where $T_N \to 0$, formula (2.14) implies that $\mathrm{C}^n_{\widehat{K}_V^N}[f] \simeq \mathrm{C}^n_{K_V^N}[f]$ for all $n \geq 2$. Hence, once centered, both statistics $\Xi(f)$ and $\hat{\Xi}(f)$ converge to a Gaussian random variable with variance $\|f\|^2_{H^1(\mathbb{C})}$.

On the other hand, in the regime where $T_N \to \infty$, if we let $h_N := f/\sqrt{T_N}$, by rescaling formula (2.14) we obtain

$$\mathrm{C}^n_{\widehat{K}_V^N}[h_N] = T_N^{1-n/2} \int_{\mathbb{C}} f(x)^n \varrho_V(z) d\mathrm{A}(z) + \underset{N\to\infty}{O}\big(T_N^{-n/2}\big).$$

Just like for a Poisson point process with intensity function $T_N \varrho_V$, this implies that $\hat{\Xi}(h_N) - \mathbb{E}\big[\hat{\Xi}(h_N)\big]$ converges in distribution to a Gaussian random variable with variance $\displaystyle\int_{\mathbb{C}} f(x)^2 \varrho_V(z) d\mathrm{A}(z)$.

Finally in the critical case where $T_N \to \tau$, formulae (2.14) and (2.13) implies that

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \mathrm{C}^n_{\widehat{K}_V^N}[f] = \delta_2(n)\|f\|^2_{H^1(\mathbb{C})} + \tau\gamma_1^n \int_{\mathbb{C}} f(x)^n \varrho_V(z) d\mathrm{A}(z). \tag{2.15}$$

By formula (1.10), the cumulants of the random variable $\Lambda_\eta(f)$ are given by

$$\mathrm{C}^n\left(\Lambda_\eta(f)\right) = \int_{\mathfrak{X}} f(z)^n \eta(z) d\mu(z) \qquad \text{for all} \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

and by lemma 2.1, the coefficient $\gamma_1^n = (-1)^n$. Hence, formula (2.15) implies that the random variable $\hat{\Xi}(f) - \mathbb{E}\big[\hat{\Xi}(f)\big]$ converges in distribution to the sum of a Gaussian random variable with variance $\|f\|^2_{H^1(\mathbb{C})}$ and an independent random variable $\Lambda_{t\varrho_V}(-f)$.

3 Transition for the log-gases in dimension 2

We begin by reviewing the basics of the theory of eigenvalues of random normal matrices developed by Ameur, Hedenmalm and Makarov. In particular, we are

interested in the properties of the correlation kernel (1.3) in the bulk of the gas. Recall that the equilibrium measure is $\varrho_V = 2\Delta V \mathbf{1}_{\mathscr{D}_V}$, the droplet $\mathscr{D}_V$ is a compact set with a nice boundary, and the set $\mathscr{S}_V$ is given by (1.6). It was pointed out in [2] that, if the potential V is real-analytic, in order to compute the asymptotics of the cumulants of a smooth linear statistic, instead of working with the correlation kernel K_N^V, one can use the so-called approximate Bergman kernel,

$$B_V^N(z,w) = \big(Nb_0(z,\overline{w}) + b_1(z,\overline{w})\big)e^{N\{2\Phi(z,\overline{w})-V(z)-V(w)\}}. \tag{3.1}$$

The functions $b_0(z,w)$, $b_1(z,w)$ and $\Phi(z,w)$ are the (unique) bi-holomorphic functions defined in a neighborhood in $\mathbb{C}^2$ of the set $\big\{(z,\overline{z}) : z \in \mathscr{S}_V\big\}$ such that $b_0(z,\overline{z}) = 2\Delta V(z)$, $b_1(z,\overline{z}) = \frac{1}{2}\Delta\log(\Delta V)(z)$, and $\Phi(z,\overline{z}) = V(z)$.

Lemma 3.1 (Lemma 1.2 in [2], see also [6, 1])**.** *For any $x_0 \in \mathscr{S}_V$, there exists $\epsilon_0 > 0$ and $C > 0$ so that*

$$\big|K_V^N(z,w) - B_V^N(z,w)\big| \leq CN^{-1} \tag{3.2}$$

for all $z, w \in \mathbb{D}(x_0,\epsilon_0)$.

Moreover, at sufficiently small mesoscopic scale, up to a gauge transform, the asymptotics of the approximate Bergman kernel B_V^N is universal.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\kappa > 0$ and $\epsilon_N = \kappa N^{-1/2}\log N$ for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$. For any $x_0 \in \mathscr{S}_V$, there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ and a function $\mathfrak{h} : \mathbb{D}(0,\varepsilon_0) \to \mathbb{R}$ such that if the parameter N is sufficiently large, the function*

$$\widetilde{B}_{V,x_0}^N(u,v) = \frac{B_V^N(x_0+u, x_0+v)e^{iN\mathfrak{h}(u)}}{e^{iN\mathfrak{h}(v)}} \tag{3.3}$$

satisfies

$$\widetilde{B}_{V,x_0}^N(u,v) = K_{N\varrho_V(x_0)}^{\infty}(u,v)\Big\{1 + \underset{N\to\infty}{O}\big((\log N)^2\epsilon_N\big)\Big\} \tag{3.4}$$

uniformly for all $u, v \in \mathbb{D}(0,\epsilon_N)$, where $K_{N\varrho_V(x_0)}^{\infty}$ is the ∞-Ginibre kernel with density $N\varrho_V(x_0) = 2N\Delta V(x_0)$.

A key ingredient in the paper [2], as well as [39], is to reduce the domain of integration in formula (2.5), using the exponential off-diagonal decay of the correlation kernels K_V^N, to a set where we can use the asymptotics (3.4) and approximate the function $\Upsilon_0^n[f]$ by a multivariate polynomial of degree 2 using Taylor's theorem. This reduction step is formulated in the following lemma. For completeness, the proofs of lemmas 3.2 and 3.3 are given in the appendix B.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\epsilon_N = \kappa N^{-1/2}\log N$ for some constant $\kappa > 0$ which is sufficiently large compared to n. We let*

$$\mathscr{A}(z_0;\epsilon) = \big\{\mathrm{z} \in \mathbb{C}^n : |z_j - z_{j-1}| \leq \epsilon \text{ for all } j = 1,\dots n\big\}. \tag{3.5}$$

Let $\mathscr{S}$ be a compact subset of $\mathscr{S}_V$, $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, and $F_N : \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a sequence of continuous functions such that

$$\sup\left\{|F_N(z_0, \mathrm{z})| : \mathrm{z} \in \mathbb{C}^n, N \geq N_0\right\} \leq C\mathbf{1}_{z_0 \in \mathscr{S}}. \tag{3.6}$$

We have

$$\int_{\substack{\mathbb{C}^{n+1} \\ z_0 = z_{n+1}}} F_N(z_0, \mathrm{z}) \prod_{j=0}^{n} K_V^N(z_j, z_{j+1}) d\mathrm{A}(z_0) d\mathrm{A}^n(z) \tag{3.7}$$

$$= \int_{\mathscr{S}} d\mathrm{A}(z_0) \int_{\substack{\mathscr{A}(z_0;\epsilon_N) \\ z_{n+1} = z_0}} F_N(z_0, \mathrm{z}) \prod_{j=0}^{n} K_V^N(z_j, z_{j+1}) d\mathrm{A}^n(\mathrm{z}) \; + \underset{N\to\infty}{O}(N^{-1}).$$

Using the fact that

$$\left|K_\rho^\infty(z, w)\right| = \rho e^{-\rho|z-w|^2/2} \tag{3.8}$$

for all $z, w \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\rho > 0$, it is easy to obtain a similar estimate for the ∞-Ginibre kernel, see lemma B.1. The next proposition is the technical step to prove that the 2-dimensional log-gases satisfy the assumption (2.12).

Proposition 3.4. *Let $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a compact set, $f \in \mathcal{C}_0^3(\mathcal{K})$ and let $\Upsilon : \mathfrak{V} \to \mathbb{R}$ be any map such that $\sum_{\mathbf{k}\vdash n} \Upsilon(\mathbf{k}) = 0$ for all $n \geq 2$. Let L_N be an increasing sequence such that $1 \prec L_N \prec \sqrt{N}/(\log N)^3$, $x_0 \in \mathscr{S}_V$ and $f_N = f(L_N(z - x_0))$. We also denote by $H^n(\lambda; \mathrm{w})$ the second order Taylor polynomial at 0 of the function $\mathrm{w} \in \mathbb{C}^n \mapsto \Upsilon^{n+1}[f](\lambda, \lambda + \mathrm{w})$. Then, we have for any $n \geq 1$,*

$$\int_{\substack{\mathbb{C}^{n+1} \\ z_0 = z_{n+1}}} \Upsilon^{n+1}[f_N](z_0, \mathrm{z}) \prod_{j=0}^{n} K_V^N(z_j, z_{j+1}) d\mathrm{A}(z_0) d\mathrm{A}^n(\mathrm{z}) \tag{3.9}$$

$$= \int_{\mathcal{K}} d\mathrm{A}(\lambda) \int_{\substack{\mathbb{C}^n \\ w_0 = w_{n+1} = 0}} H^n(\lambda; \mathrm{w}) \prod_{j=0}^{n} K_{\eta_N(\lambda)}^\infty(w_j, w_{j+1}) \; d\mathrm{A}^n(\mathrm{w}) + \underset{N\to\infty}{O}\left(\frac{(\log N)^4}{L_N}\right),$$

where the density is given by $\eta_N(\lambda) = NL_N^{-2}\varrho_V(x_0 + \lambda/L_N)$.

Remark 3.1. *In the macroscopic regime ($L_N = 1$ and $x_0 = 0$), the proof below shows that the asymptotics (3.9) still holds as long as $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathscr{S}_V$. It is also worth noting that the error term in formula (3.9) is not optimal and it is expected to converge to 0 for any scale $1 \leq L_N \prec \sqrt{N}$.*

Proof. We let $F_N = \Upsilon^{n+1}[f_N]$ and

$$\mathscr{J}_N^n := \int_{\substack{\mathbb{C}^{n+1} \\ z_{n+1} = z_0}} F_N(z_0, \mathrm{z}) \prod_{j=0}^{n} K_V^N(z_j, z_{j+1}) d\mathrm{A}(z_0) d\mathrm{A}^n(\mathrm{z}).$$

Since $x_0 \in \mathscr{S}_V$, there exists a compact set $\mathscr{S} \subset \mathscr{S}_V$ so that $\mathrm{supp}(f_N) \subseteq \mathscr{S}$ when the parameter N is sufficiently large and, according to formula (2.2), the function F_N satisfies the assumption (3.6). By lemma 3.3, this implies that

$$\mathscr{J}_N^n \simeq \int_{\mathscr{S}} d\mathrm{A}(z_0) \int_{\substack{\mathscr{A}(z_0;\epsilon_N) \\ z_{n+1}=z_0}} F_N(z_0, \mathrm{z}) \prod_{j=0}^{n} K_V^N(z_j, z_{j+1}) d\mathrm{A}^n(\mathrm{z}). \tag{3.10}$$

By (3.5),

$$\mathscr{A}(z_0;\epsilon) \subset \big\{ \mathrm{z} \in \mathbb{C}^n : z_1, \ldots, z_n \in \mathbb{D}(z_0, n\epsilon_N) \big\},$$

and we can apply lemma 3.1 to replace the kernels $K_V^N(z_j, z_{j+1})$ in formula (3.10). Namely, if $z_{n+1} = z_0$ and $\mathrm{z} \in \mathscr{A}(z_0;\epsilon)$, then

$$\left| \prod_{j=0}^{n} K_V^N(z_j, z_{j+1}) - \prod_{j=0}^{n} B_V^N(z_j, z_{j+1}) \right| \leq C \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} N^{-k} S_N^{n+1-k},$$

where $S_N = \sup\big\{ |B_V^N(z,w)| : z, w \in \mathbb{D}(z_0, n\epsilon_N), z_0 \in \mathscr{S} \big\}$. By lemma 3.2, for all $u, v \in \mathbb{D}(0, n\epsilon_N)$,

$$\big| B_V^N(z_0+u, z_0+v) \big| \leq C \big| K^{\infty}_{N\varrho_V(z_0)}(u,v) \big|.$$

and, by formula (3.8), this implies that $S_N \leq CN$. If we combine these estimates with formula (3.10), since the function F_N are uniformly bounded, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathscr{J}_N^n = \int_{\mathscr{S}} d\mathrm{A}(z_0) \int_{\substack{\mathscr{A}(z_0;\epsilon_N) \\ z_{n+1}=z_0}} F_N(z_0, \mathrm{z}) \prod_{j=0}^{n} B_V^N(z_j, z_{j+1}) d\mathrm{A}^n(\mathrm{z}) \\ + \underset{N\to\infty}{O} \left(N^{n-1} \int_{\mathscr{S}} d\mathrm{A}(z_0) \big| \mathscr{A}(z_0;\epsilon_N) \big| \right) \end{aligned}$$

By definition, $\epsilon_N = \kappa N^{-1/2} \log N$ so that $\big|\mathscr{A}(z_0;\epsilon_N)\big| \leq CN^{-n}(\log N)^{2n}$ for all $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$. Thus, the previous error term converges to 0 like $(\log N)^{2n}/N$. Hence, if we make the change of variables $\mathrm{z} = z_0 + \mathrm{u}$ and the appropriate *gauge transform* in the previous integral, according to formula (3.3), we obtain

$$\mathscr{J}_N^n \simeq \int_{\mathscr{S}} d\mathrm{A}(z_0) \int_{\substack{\mathscr{A}(0;\epsilon_N) \\ u_{n+1}=u_0=0}} F_N(z_0 + \mathrm{u}) \prod_{j=0}^{n} \widetilde{B}^N_{V,z_0}(u_j, u_{j+1}) d\mathrm{A}^n(\mathrm{u}). \tag{3.11}$$

Note that in formula (3.11), the integral is over a small subset of the surface $\{\mathrm{u} \in \mathbb{C}^{n+2} : u_0 = u_{n+1} = 0\}$ and we denote $F_N(z_0 + \mathrm{u}) = F_N(z_0, z_0 + u_1, \ldots, z_0 + u_n)$. Then, we can apply lemma 3.2 to replace the kernel $\widetilde{B}^N_{V,z_0}$ by its local limit $K^{\infty}_{N\varrho_V(z_0)}$ in formula (3.11), we obtain

$$\mathscr{J}_N^n \simeq \int_{\mathscr{S}} d\mathrm{A}(z_0) \int_{\substack{\mathscr{A}(0;\epsilon_N) \\ u_{n+1}=u_0=0}} F_N(z_0 + \mathrm{u}) \chi_N(z_0, \mathrm{u}) \prod_{j=0}^{n} K^{\infty}_{N\varrho_V(z_0)}(u_j, u_{j+1}) d\mathrm{A}^n(\mathrm{u}),$$

where $\chi_N(z_0, \mathrm{u}) = 1 + \underset{N\to\infty}{O}\big((\log N)^2\epsilon_N\big)$ uniformly for all $z_0 \in \mathscr{S}$ and all $\mathrm{u} \in \mathscr{A}(0;\epsilon_N)$.

Let $F = \Upsilon^{n+1}[f]$, $\delta_N = \epsilon_N L_N$ and $\eta_N(\lambda) = NL_N^{-2}\varrho_V(x_0+\lambda/L_N)$. By definition, $F_N(z_0+\mathrm{u}) = F\big(L_N(z_0-x_0+\mathrm{u})\big)$ and we can make the change of variables $\lambda = L_N(z_0-x_0)$ and $\mathrm{w} = L_N\mathrm{u}$ to get rid of the scale L_N and the fixed point x_0 in the previous integral. Using the obvious scaling property of the ∞-Ginibre kernel, (1.15), we obtain

$$\mathscr{J}_N^n \simeq \int_{\mathcal{K}} d\mathrm{A}(\lambda) \int_{\substack{\mathscr{A}(0;\delta_N)\\ w_{n+1}=w_0=0}} F(\lambda+\mathrm{w})\tilde{\chi}_N(\lambda,\mathrm{w}) \prod_{j=0}^{n} K^{\infty}_{\eta_N(\lambda)}(w_j, w_{j+1}) d\mathrm{A}^n(\mathrm{w}), \tag{3.12}$$

where $\tilde{\chi}_N(\lambda,\mathrm{w}) = 1 + \underset{N\to\infty}{O}\big((\log N)^2\epsilon_N\big)$ uniformly for all $\lambda \in \mathcal{K}$ and for all $\mathrm{u} \in \mathscr{A}(0;\delta_N)$. The condition $\sum_{\mathbf{k}\vdash n+1}\Upsilon(\mathbf{k}) = 0$ implies that $F(\lambda+0) = 0$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ so that

$$\big|F(\lambda+\mathrm{w})\big| \leq C\delta_N$$

for all $\lambda \in \mathcal{K}$ and all $\mathrm{w} \in \mathscr{A}(0;\delta_N)$. Moreover, by formula (3.8), for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\prod_{j=0}^{n} \big|K^{\infty}_{\rho}(w_j, w_{j+1})\big| \leq \rho^{n+1} \prod_{j=1}^{n} e^{-\rho|v_j|^2/2} \tag{3.13}$$

where $v_j = w_j - w_{j-1}$ for all $j = 1, \ldots, n$. Hence, we see that

$$\Big| \int_{\substack{\mathscr{A}(0;\delta_N)\\ w_{n+1}=w_0=0}} F(\lambda+\mathrm{w}) \prod_{j=0}^{n} K^{\infty}_{\eta_N(\lambda)}(w_j, w_{j+1}) d\mathrm{A}^n(\mathrm{w}) \Big| \leq C\delta_N\eta_N(\lambda)$$

and, since $\eta_N(\lambda) \leq CNL_N^{-2}$ for all $\lambda \in \mathcal{K}$, we deduce from formula (3.12) that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathscr{J}_N^n = \int_{\mathcal{K}} d\mathrm{A}(\lambda) \int_{\substack{\mathscr{A}(0;\delta_N)\\ w_{n+1}=w_0=0}} F(\lambda+\mathrm{w}) \prod_{j=0}^{n} K^{\infty}_{\eta_N(\lambda)}(w_j, w_{j+1}) d\mathrm{A}^n(\mathrm{w}) \\ + \underset{N\to\infty}{O}\big(NL_N^{-2}\delta_N\epsilon_N(\log N)^2\big).\end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

Recall that $\delta_N = L_N\epsilon_N$ and $\epsilon_N = \kappa N^{-1/2}\log N$, so that the error term in (3.14) is of order $(\log N)^4 L_N^{-1}$. Moreover, if $L_N \prec \sqrt{N}/\log N$, a Taylor approximation shows that for any $\mathrm{w} \in \mathscr{A}(0;\delta_N)$,

$$F(\lambda, \lambda+w_1, \ldots, \lambda+w_n) = H^n(\lambda;\mathrm{w}) + \underset{N\to\infty}{O}(\delta_N^3).$$

Using the estimate (3.13) once more, by formula (3.14), this implies that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathscr{J}_N^n = \int_{\mathcal{K}} d\mathrm{A}(\lambda) \int_{\substack{\mathscr{A}(0;\delta_N)\\ w_{n+1}=w_0=0}} H^n(\lambda;\mathrm{w}) \prod_{j=0}^{n} K^{\infty}_{\eta_N(\lambda)}(w_j, w_{j+1}) d\mathrm{A}^n(\mathrm{w}) \\ + \underset{N\to\infty}{O}\big(NL_N^{-2}\delta_N^3 \vee (\log N)^4 L_N^{-1}\big).\end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

By lemma B.1, the leading term in formula (3.15) has the same limit (up to an arbitrary small error term) as

$$\int_{\mathcal{K}} d\mathrm{A}(\lambda) \int_{\substack{\mathbb{C}^n \\ w_{n+1}=w_0=0}} H^n(\lambda;\mathrm{w}) \prod_{j=0}^{n} K^{\infty}_{\eta_N(\lambda)}(w_j, w_{j+1}) d\mathrm{A}^n(\mathrm{w})$$

and, since $NL_N^{-2}\delta_N^3 \to 0$ when $L_N \prec \sqrt{N}/(\log N)^3$, this completes the proof. □

Since the function $\mathrm{w} \mapsto H^n(\lambda;\mathrm{w})$ is a multivariate polynomial of degree 2, the leading term in the asymptotics (3.9) can be computed explicitly using the reproducing property of the ∞-Ginibre kernel; see for instance [39]. For any $\rho > 0$, the function $(z,w) \mapsto e^{\rho z\overline{w}}$ is the reproducing kernel for the Bergman space with weight $\rho e^{-\rho|z|^2/2}$ on $\mathbb{C}$. This implies that for any $w_1, w_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ and for all integer $k \geq 0$,

$$\begin{cases} \displaystyle\int_{\mathbb{C}} K^{\infty}_{\rho}(w_1,w_2) w_2^k K^{\infty}_{\rho}(w_2,w_3) d\mathrm{A}(w_2) = w_1^k K^{\infty}_{\rho}(w_1,w_3) \\ \displaystyle\int_{\mathbb{C}} K^{\infty}_{\rho}(w_1,w_2) \overline{w_2}^k K^{\infty}_{\rho}(w_2,w_3) d\mathrm{A}(w_2) = \overline{w_3}^k K^{\infty}_{\rho}(w_1,w_3) \end{cases}.$$

As a basic application of these identities, we obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 3.5. *Let $n \geq 1$ and $\rho > 0$. For any polynomial $H(\mathrm{w})$ of degree at most 2 in the variables $w_1, \dots, w_n, \overline{w_1}, \dots, \overline{w_n}$, we have*

$$\int_{\substack{\mathbb{C}^n \\ w_{n+1}=w_0=0}} H^n(\mathrm{w}) \prod_{j=0}^{n} K^{\infty}_{\rho}(w_j, w_{j+1}) \; d\mathrm{A}^n(\mathrm{w}) = \sum_{1 \leq r \leq s \leq n} \partial_s \overline{\partial}_r H|_{\mathrm{w}=0}. \tag{3.16}$$

According to formulae (2.7) and (2.9), combining proposition 3.4 and lemma 3.5, we obtain for any test function $f \in \mathcal{C}_0^3(\mathcal{K})$ and for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $m \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\substack{\mathbb{C}^{n+1} \\ z_0=z_{n+1}}} \Upsilon_m^{n+1}[f_N](z_0,\mathrm{z}) \prod_{j=0}^{n} K_V^N(z_j, z_{j+1}) d\mathrm{A}(z_0) d\mathrm{A}^n(\mathrm{z}) \qquad (3.17) \\ &\qquad = \sum_{2 \leq r \leq s \leq n+1} \int_{\mathcal{K}} \partial_s \overline{\partial}_r \Upsilon_m^{n+1}[f](\lambda,\dots,\lambda) d\mathrm{A}(\lambda) + \underset{N\to\infty}{O}\left(\frac{(\log N)^4}{L_N}\right). \end{aligned}$$

In the macroscopic regime ($L_N = 1$ and $x_0 = 0$), this proves the estimate (2.12) as long as the support of the test function $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathscr{S}_V$, so that theorem 1.1 follows directly from the asymptotic expansion (2.14) as explained in section 2.

In the mesoscopic regime, we claim that the asymptotics (3.17) with $m = 0$ implies the central limit theorem 1.2. The fact that the cumulants of order $n \geq 3$ vanish comes from the following combinatorial lemma.

Lemma 3.6 ([39], Lemma 9)**.** *For any $n \geq 1$, let*

$$\mathscr{Y}_n = -\sum_{k \vdash n} \Upsilon_0(\mathbf{k}) \left\{ \sum_{2 \leq r < s \leq \ell(\mathbf{k})} k_r k_s + \sum_{r=2}^{\ell(\mathbf{k})} k_r (k_r - n) \right\}.$$

We have $\mathscr{Y}_n = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = 2 \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$.

Proof of theorem 1.2. Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\boldsymbol{\lambda} = (\lambda, \dots, \lambda) \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$. According to formula (2.2), an elementary computation shows that for any $2 \leq r < s \leq n+1$,

$$\partial_s \overline{\partial}_r \Upsilon_0^{n+1}[f](\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \partial f(\lambda) \overline{\partial} f(\lambda) f(\lambda)^{n-1} \sum_{\mathbf{k} \vdash n+1} \Upsilon_0(\mathbf{k}) k_r k_s \mathbf{1}_{s \leq \ell(k)} \tag{3.18}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_r \overline{\partial}_r \Upsilon_0^{n+1}[f]((\boldsymbol{\lambda}) &= \partial f(\lambda) \overline{\partial} f(\lambda) f(\lambda)^{n-1} \sum_{\mathbf{k} \vdash n+1} \Upsilon_0(\mathbf{k}) k_r (k_r - 1) \mathbf{1}_{r \leq \ell(k)} \\ &\quad + \Delta f(\lambda) f(\lambda)^n \sum_{\mathbf{k} \vdash n+1} \Upsilon_0(\mathbf{k}) k_r \mathbf{1}_{r \leq \ell(k)}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

Since, by integration by parts,

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}} \Delta f(\lambda) f(\lambda)^n d\mathrm{A}(\lambda) = -n \int_{\mathbb{C}} \partial f(\lambda) \overline{\partial} f(\lambda) f(\lambda)^{n-1} d\mathrm{A}(\lambda),$$

we deduce from formulae (3.18) and (3.19) that

$$\sum_{2 \leq r \leq s \leq n+1} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \partial_s \overline{\partial}_r \Upsilon_m^{n+1}[f](\boldsymbol{\lambda}) d\mathrm{A}(\lambda) = -\mathscr{Y}_{n+1} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \partial f(\lambda) \overline{\partial} f(\lambda) f(\lambda)^{n-1} d\mathrm{A}(\lambda).$$

When $L_N = N^\alpha$ and $0 < \alpha < 1/2$, formulae (2.5) and (3.17) with $m = 0$ imply that for any $n \geq 1$,

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \mathrm{C}_{K_V^N}^{n+1}[f_N] = -\mathscr{Y}_{n+1} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \partial f(\lambda) \overline{\partial} f(\lambda) f(\lambda)^{n-1} d\mathrm{A}(\lambda). \tag{3.20}$$

By lemma 3.6, this proves that for any test function $f \in \mathcal{C}_0^3(\mathbb{C})$, the centered mesoscopic linear statistics $\Xi(f_N) - \mathbb{E}\big[\Xi(f_N)\big]$ converges to a mean-zero Gaussian random variable $\mathrm{X}(f)$ with variance

$$\mathrm{Var}\left[\mathrm{X}(f)\right] = \int_{\mathbb{C}} \partial f(\lambda) \overline{\partial} f(\lambda) d\mathrm{A}(\lambda) = \|f\|_{H^1(\mathbb{C})}^2,$$

as $N \to \infty$. □

Using formula (2.10) and the asymptotics (3.17), we can describe in a similar fashion the mesoscopic fluctuations of the incomplete log-gas $\hat{\Xi}$.

Proof of theorem 1.3. By lemma 3.1, for any bounded function f with compact support, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}} f_N(z)^n K_V^N(z,z) d\mathrm{A}(z) = N \int_{\mathbb{C}} f_N(z)^n 2\Delta V(z) d\mathrm{A}(z) + \underset{N\to\infty}{O}(1)$$
$$= N L_N^{-2} \varrho_V(x_0) \int_{\mathbb{C}} f(z)^n d\mathrm{A}(z) + \underset{N\to\infty}{O}(N L_N^{-3}).$$

Let $T_N = N q_N L_N^{-2} \varrho_V(x_0)$. By (2.10) and (3.17), this shows that

$$\mathrm{C}^n_{\widehat{K}_V^N}[f] = \mathrm{C}^n_{K_V^N}[f] + T_N \gamma_m^n \int_{\mathbb{C}} f(z)^n d\mathrm{A}(z) + \underset{N\to\infty}{o}(T_N) \tag{3.21}$$

as $N \to \infty$ and $q_N \to 0$. As we already discussed in section 2, the asymptotics (3.20) and (3.21) yield theorem 1.3. □

4 Transition for the 1-dimensional log-gases - the global regime.

As we explained in the introduction, there is an analogous transition for other determinantal processes and, in particular, for linear statistics of the eigenvalues of Hermitian random matrices or 1-dimensional log-gases. A good reference for the results discussed in this section are the chapters 11–14 in the book of Pastur and Shcherbina, [38]. Let $V : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function which satisfies the condition (1.1) and let Ξ and $\widehat{\Xi}$ be the determinantal processes with correlation kernels K_V^N and $\widehat{K}_V^N = p_N K_V^N$ respectively. It was established in [27] that there exists an equilibrium measure μ_* such that the one-point function $u_V^N(x) := N^{-1} K_V^N(x,x)$ viewed as a probability measure on $\mathbb{R}$ converges weakly to μ_* as $N \to \infty$. Like in the 2-dimensional setting, the equilibrium measure has compact support and it is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure with a density ϱ_V. Let

$$\mathscr{I}_V = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : \varrho_V(x) > 0\}. \tag{4.1}$$

We can always make an affine transformation of the potential and assume that $\mathscr{I}_V \subset [-1,1]$. Moreover, if V is a polynomial and $\mathscr{I}_V = (-1,1)$, then linear statistics of the process Ξ satisfy a CLT:

$$\Xi(f) - \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) \varrho_V(x) dx \Rightarrow \mathrm{Y}(f) \quad \text{as } N \to \infty, \tag{4.2}$$

for any $f \in \mathcal{C}^2(\mathbb{R})$ so that $f'(x)$ grows at most polynomially as $|x| \to \infty$. The process Y is a centered Gaussian noise defined on $[-1,1]$ with covariance structure:

$$\mathbb{E}\big[\mathrm{Y}(f)\mathrm{Y}(g)\big] = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \mathrm{c}_k(f) \mathrm{c}_k(g). \tag{4.3}$$

Let $(T_k)_{k=0}^{\infty}$ denote the Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind, i.e. $T_k(\cos\theta) = \cos(k\theta)$ for any $k \geq 0$. In formula (4.3), c_k are the Fourier-Chebychev coefficients:

$$\mathrm{c}_k(f) = \frac{2}{\pi}\int_{-1}^{1} f(x)T_k(x)\frac{dx}{\sqrt{1-x^2}}, \tag{4.4}$$

for any function $f \in L^{\infty}([-1,1])$. The CLT (4.2) holds for more general potentials and for other orthogonal polynomial ensembles as well, [38, section 11.3] or [10, 14, 35] and it is known that the one-cut condition, i.e. the assumption that the support of the equilibrium measure is connected, is necessary. Otherwise, the asymptotic fluctuations of a generic linear statistic $\Xi(f)$ are still of order 1 but there are not Gaussian, see [37, 41, 11]. In this section, we will establish the counterpart of the CLT (4.2) for the incomplete ensembles and for polynomial test functions. In particular, the estimate (2.12) will be proved using the approach introduced in [15] which consists in using the three-terms recurrence relation of the orthogonal polynomials $\{P_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ with respect to the measure $d\mu_N = e^{-2NV(x)}dx$ to express the cumulants. For any $N \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists two sequences $a_k^N > 0$ and $b_k^N \in \mathbb{R}$ such that the orthogonal polynomials P_k satisfy

$$xP_k(x) = a_k^N P_{k+1}(x) + b_k^N P_k(x) + a_{k-1}^N P_{k-1}(x). \tag{4.5}$$

Theorem 4.1. *Let* Y *be a mean-zero Gaussian process with covariance* (4.3) *and let* $\Lambda_{\tau\varrho_V}$ *be an independent Poisson process with intensity* $\tau\varrho_V$ *where* $\tau > 0$. *Let* $p_N = 1 - q_N$ *and* $T_N = Nq_N$. *Suppose that the recurrence coefficients of the orthogonal polynomials* $\{P_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ *satisfy for all* $j \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} a_{N+j}^N = 1/2 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{N\to\infty} b_{N+j}^N = 0, \tag{4.6}$$

and suppose that for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\lim_{N\to\infty}\int_{\mathbb{R}} x^k u_V^N(x)dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^k \varrho_V(x)dx. \tag{4.7}$$

Then, for any polynomial $Q \in \mathbb{R}\langle X\rangle$, *we obtain as* $N \to \infty$ *and* $q_N \to 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\hat{\Xi}(Q) - \mathbb{E}\big[\hat{\Xi}(Q)\big] \Rightarrow \mathrm{Y}(Q) && \text{if } T_N \to 0,\\
&\frac{\hat{\Xi}(Q) - \mathbb{E}\big[\hat{\Xi}(Q)\big]}{\sqrt{T_N}} \Rightarrow \mathcal{N}\left(0, \int_{\mathbb{R}} Q(x)^2\varrho_V(x)dx\right) && \text{if } T_N \to \infty,\\
&\hat{\Xi}(Q) - \mathbb{E}\big[\hat{\Xi}(Q)\big] \Rightarrow \mathrm{Y}(Q) + \Lambda_{\tau\varrho_V}(-Q) && \text{if } T_N \to \tau.
\end{aligned}$$

The condition (4.7) can easily be deduced from the following estimate for the one-point function or density of the gas,

$$u_V^N(x) = N^{-1}K_V^N(x,x) \leq e^{-2N\{V(x)-\log(1+|x|)-C\}},$$

where C is a constant which depends only on the potential V; c.f. [38, Theorem 11.1.2]. In particular, the assumption (1.1) implies that the function $u_N(x)$

decays faster than any polynomial outside a compact set and the limits (4.7) can be deduced from the weak convergence of $u_N(x)$ to the equilibrium measure $d\mu_* = \varrho_V dx$. It was proved in [27] that if V is a convex polynomial and $\mathscr{I}_V = (-1, 1)$, then the condition (4.6) is satisfied and theorem 4.1 holds. In fact, this assumption should be generic and it is an interesting question whether it is equivalent to the condition $\mathscr{I}_V = (-1, 1)$.

The completion $\mathscr{L}_N$ of the space of polynomials with respect to $L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mu_N)$ is isomorphic to $L^2(\mathbb{N}_0)$ and formula (4.5) implies that the multiplication by x on $\mathscr{L}_N$ is unitary equivalent to the matrix

$$\mathbf{J} := \begin{bmatrix} b_0^N & a_0^N & 0 & 0 & 0 & \\ a_0^N & b_1^N & a_1^N & 0 & 0 & \mathbf{0} \\ 0 & a_1^N & b_2^N & a_2^N & 0 & \\ 0 & 0 & a_2^N & b_3^N & a_3^N & \\ & \mathbf{0} & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \end{bmatrix}. \tag{4.8}$$

This matrix is called the **Jacobi matrix**. The connection with linear statistics of eigenvalues comes from the fact that for any polynomial $Q \in \mathbb{R}\langle X \rangle$ and for any composition $\mathbf{k} \vdash n$, one easily sees that

$$\int_{\substack{\mathfrak{X}^l \\ x_0 = x_l}} Q(x_1)^{k_1} \cdots Q(x_l)^{k_l} \prod_{0 \leq j \leq l} K_V^N(x_j, x_{j-1}) dx_1 \cdots dx_l$$
$$= \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} \sum_{\pi \in \Gamma_m^n} \prod_{j=1}^{l} \mathbf{1}_{\pi(k_1 + \cdots + k_j) < N} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} Q(\mathbf{J})_{\pi(i)\pi(i+1)}.$$

where $\mathcal{G}$ denotes the adjacency graph of the matrix $Q(\mathbf{J})$ and

$$\Gamma_m^n = \big\{\text{paths } \pi \text{ on the graph } \mathcal{G} \text{ of length } n \text{ such that } \pi(0) = \pi(n) = m\big\}.$$

For any composition $\mathbf{k} \vdash n$, we let

$$\Phi_\pi^N(\mathbf{k}) := \mathbf{1}_{\max\limits_{1 \leq j < \ell(\mathbf{k})} \pi(k_1 + \cdots + k_j) \geq N}. \tag{4.9}$$

Observe that

$$\prod_{1 \leq j < \ell(\mathbf{k})} \mathbf{1}_{\pi(k_1 + \cdots + k_j) < N} = 1 - \Phi_\pi^N(\mathbf{k}),$$

so that by formula (2.5), the cumulants of a polynomial linear statistics are given by

$$\mathrm{C}_{K_V^N}^n[Q] = -\sum_{m=0}^{N-1} \sum_{\pi \in \Gamma_m^n} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} Q(\mathbf{J})_{\pi(i)\pi(i+1)} \sum_{\mathbf{k} \vdash n} \Upsilon_0(\mathbf{k}) \big(1 - \Phi_\pi^N(\mathbf{k})\big). \tag{4.10}$$

Note that there exists a constant $M > 0$ which only depends on the degree of Q and n so that $\Phi_\pi^N = 0$ for any path $\pi \in \Gamma_m^n$ as long as $m < N - M$. Since $\sum_{\mathbf{k}\vdash n} \Upsilon_0(\mathbf{k}) = 0$ for all $n \geq 2$, formula (4.10) implies that

$$\mathrm{C}^n_{K_V^N}[Q] = \sum_{m=N-M}^{N-1} \sum_{\pi\in\Gamma_m^n} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} Q(\mathbf{J})_{\pi(i)\pi(i+1)} \sum_{\mathbf{k}\vdash n} \Upsilon_0(\mathbf{k})\Phi_\pi^N(\mathbf{k}).$$

In particular, if the matrix $\mathbf{J}$ has a right-limit, i.e. there exists an (infinite) matrix $\mathbf{L}$ such that for all $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \mathbf{J}_{N+i,N+j} = \mathbf{L}_{i,j}, \tag{4.11}$$

then

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \mathrm{C}^n_{K_V^N}[Q] = \sum_{m=1}^{M} \sum_{\pi\in\widetilde{\Gamma}_m^n} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} Q(\mathbf{L})_{\pi(i)\pi(i+1)} \sum_{\mathbf{k}\vdash n} \Upsilon_0(\mathbf{k})\Phi_\pi^0(\mathbf{k}), \tag{4.12}$$

where $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$ denotes the adjacency graph of the matrix $Q(\mathbf{L})$ and

$$\widetilde{\Gamma}_m^n = \big\{\text{paths } \pi \text{ on the graph } \widetilde{\mathcal{G}} \text{ of length } n \text{ such that } \pi(0) = \pi(n) = -m\big\}.$$

Since $\sum_{\mathbf{k}\vdash n} \Upsilon_m(\mathbf{k}) = 0$ for all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, the very same computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{N\to\infty} \int_{\substack{\mathfrak{X}^n\\ x_0=x_n}} \Upsilon_m^n[Q](x) \prod_{1\leq j\leq n} K_V^N(x_j, x_{j-1}) d^n x \\ = \sum_{m=1}^{M} \sum_{\pi\in\widetilde{\Gamma}_m^n} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} Q(\mathbf{L})_{\pi(i)\pi(i+1)} \sum_{\mathbf{k}\vdash n} \Upsilon_m(\mathbf{k})\Phi_\pi^0(\mathbf{k}).\end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

Hence, the condition (2.12) obviously holds and, by formula (4.7), this yields that for all $n \geq 2$,

$$\mathrm{C}^n_{\widehat{K}_V^N}[Q] = \mathrm{C}^n_{K_V^N}[Q] + Nq_N \int_{\mathbb{R}} Q(x)^n \varrho_V(z)dx + \underset{N\to\infty}{O}(Nq_N^2).$$

The condition (4.6) implies that the right-limit of the Jacobi matrix is a tridiagonal matrix $\mathbf{L}$ such that $\mathbf{L}_{jj} = 0$ and $\mathbf{L}_{j,j\pm1} = 1/2$ for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and it was proved in [35], see also [15], that in this case:

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \mathrm{C}^n_{K_V^N}[Q] = \begin{cases} \dfrac{1}{\pi^2} \displaystyle\sum_{k=1}^{\deg Q} k\bigg(\int_{-1}^{1} Q(x)T_k(x)\frac{dx}{\sqrt{1-x^2}}\bigg)^2 & \text{if } n = 2 \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}.$$

As we discussed in section 2, these asymptotics imply theorem 4.1.

Remark 4.1 (Generalizations of theorem 4.1)**.** *Note that we have formulated theorem 4.1 for a log-gas at inverse temperature $\beta = 2$, but the previous proof can be generalized to other biorthogonal ensemble with a correlation kernel of the form*

$$K^N(z,w) = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \varphi_k^N(z)\varpi_k^N(w).$$

The appropriate assumptions are that the equilibrium measure μ_* exists, formula (2.11) *holds for all polynomials, the family $\{\varphi_k^N\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ satisfies a q-term recurrence relation for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and the corresponding recurrence matrix $\mathbf{J}$ has a right-limit $\mathbf{L}$ as $N \to \infty$. This applies to other orthogonal polynomial ensembles, such as the discrete point processes coming from domino tilings of hexagons, as well as some non-symmetric biorthogonal ensembles such as the Muttalib-Borodin ensembles, square singular values of product of complex Ginibre matrices or certain two-matrix models, see [15, 35] for more details. Note also that formula* (4.13) *still holds in the case where the right-limit $\mathbf{L}$ exists but is not Toeplitz (i.e. constant along its main diagonals). Then, we obtain a crossover from a non-Gaussian process (described by $\mathbf{L}$ in the regime where $T_N \to 0$) to a Poisson process (when $T_N \to \infty$). For instance, such a transition arises when considering linear statistics of the log-gases in the multi-cut regime.*

5 Transition for the 1-dimensional log-gases - the mesoscopic regime.

The combinatorial method used in the previous section is well-suited to investigate the global properties of the processes Ξ and $\hat{\Xi}$ but it is difficult to implement in the mesoscopic regime (in this case, polynomial linear statistics do not converge without normalization). Although there is a mesoscopic theory based on the asymptotics of the recurrence matrix (4.8) developed in [14], it is simpler to prove the mesoscopic counterpart of theorem 4.1 using the sine-kernel asymptotics of the correlation kernel K_V^N and the method of [34]. This reduces the proof to carefully apply the classical asymptotics of [20]. These results of Deift et al. rely on the Riemann-Hilbert problem associated to the orthogonal polynomials $\{P_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ and holds as long as the potential V is real-analytic (including the multi-cut and non-regular cases). The steepest descent method of [20] has also been established for many other invariant ensembles, c.f. [33], for which it is also possible to extract the asymptotics (5.7) for the correlation and deduce the analogue of theorem 5.1. We let $x_0 \in \mathscr{I}_V$, $f \in \mathcal{C}_0^2(\mathbb{R})$, $\alpha \in (0,1)$, and $f_N(x) = f\big(N^{\alpha}(x - x_0)\big)$. In the following, we denote by

$$\hat{f}(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)e^{-2\pi i x u}dx$$

the Fourier transform of the function f.

Theorem 5.1. *Let* Z *be a mean-zero Gaussian process on* $\mathbb{R}$ *with correlation structure:*

$$\mathbb{E}\big[\mathrm{Z}(h)\mathrm{Z}(g)\big] = \int_0^\infty u\hat{h}(u)\hat{g}(-u)du \tag{5.1}$$

for any functions $h, g \in H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R})$ *and let* Λ_τ *be an independent Poisson process with intensity* $\tau > 0$ *on* $\mathbb{R}$. *Let* $p_N = 1 - q_N$ *and* $T_N = q_N N^{1-\alpha}\varrho_V(x_0)$.
We obtain as $N \to \infty$ *and* $q_N \to 0$,

$$\hat{\Xi}(f_N) - \mathbb{E}\big[\hat{\Xi}(f_N)\big] \Rightarrow \mathrm{Z}(f) \qquad \text{if } T_N \to 0, \tag{5.2}$$

$$\frac{\hat{\Xi}(f_N) - \mathbb{E}\big[\hat{\Xi}(f_N)\big]}{\sqrt{T_N}} \Rightarrow \mathcal{N}\left(0, \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)^2 dx\right) \qquad \text{if } T_N \to \infty, \tag{5.3}$$

$$\hat{\Xi}(f_N) - \mathbb{E}\big[\hat{\Xi}(f_N)\big] \Rightarrow \mathrm{Z}(f) + \Lambda_\tau(-f) \qquad \text{if } T_N \to \tau. \tag{5.4}$$

Since $\mathrm{Var}\big[\mathrm{Z}(f)\big] = \|f\|_{H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R})}$, the process Z is usually called the $H^{1/2}$-Gaussian noise. It describes the mesoscopic fluctuations of the eigenvalues of Hermitian random matrices, see [14, 34, 36, 25], as well as the mesoscopic fluctuations of the log-gases for general $\beta > 0$, [4], and of random band matrices in the appropriate regime [23, 24].

Our proof of theorem 5.1 is based on the strategy explained in section 2 and is postponed to the end of this section after we review some of the ideas of [34]. By making a change of variables in formula (2.10), the cumulants of the linear statistics of the incomplete ensembles are given by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{C}^n_{\widehat{K}^N_V}[f_N] = \mathrm{C}^n_{K^N_V}[f_N] &- \sum_{m=1}^n (-q_N)^m \gamma^n_m \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)^n \widetilde{K}^N_{V,x_0}(x,x)dx \\ &- \sum_{m=1}^n (-q_N)^m \int_{\substack{\mathbb{R}^n \\ x_0 = x_n}} \Upsilon^n_m[f](x) \prod_{j\le n} \widetilde{K}^N_{V,x_0}(x_j, x_{j-1})dx,\end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

where $\widetilde{K}^N_{V,x_0}$ denotes the rescaled correlation kernel

$$\widetilde{K}^N_{V,x_0}(x,y) = \frac{1}{N^\alpha} K^N_V\left(x_0 + \frac{x}{N^\alpha}, x_0 + \frac{y}{N^\alpha}\right).$$

Recall that ϱ_V is the density of the equilibrium measure and define *the integrated density of states*:

$$F_V(x) = \int_0^x \varrho_V(s)ds. \tag{5.6}$$

Using the recurrence relation (4.5), one can rewrite the correlation kernel as

$$K^N_V(x,y) = a^N_{N-1}\frac{\varphi_N(x)\varphi_{N-1}(y) - \varphi_N(y)\varphi_{N-1}(x)}{x-y}.$$

Then, based on the results of [20], one can show that for any $\alpha \in (0,1]$, we have

$$\widetilde{K}^N_{V,x_0}(x,y) = \frac{\sin\left[\pi N\big((F_V(x_0+xN^{-\alpha}) - F_V(x_0+yN^{-\alpha})\big)\right]}{\pi(x-y)} + \underset{N\to\infty}{O}\left(N^{-\alpha}\right), \tag{5.7}$$

uniformly for all x_0 in compact subsets of $\mathscr{I}_V$ and for all x, y in compact subsets of $\mathbb{R}$; c.f. [34, Poposition 3.5]. In particular, by continuity along the diagonal, for any $x_0 \in \mathscr{I}_V$,

$$\widetilde{K}^N_{V,x_0}(x,x) = N^{1-\alpha}\varrho_V(x_0) + \underset{N\to\infty}{O}\left(N^{-\alpha}\right),$$

and this implies that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)^n \widetilde{K}^N_{V,x_0}(x,x)dx \simeq N^{1-\alpha}\varrho_V(x_0)\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)^n dx. \tag{5.8}$$

We define the sine kernel with density $\rho > 0$ on $\mathbb{R}$ by

$$K^{\sin}_{\rho}(x,y) = \frac{\sin[\pi\rho(x-y)]}{\pi(x-y)}. \tag{5.9}$$

We see by taking $\alpha = 1$ in formula (5.7) that the sine process with correlation kernel (5.9) describe the local limit in the bulk of the 1-dimensional log-gases. In the mesoscopic regime, it was proved in [34] that, up to a change of variable, it is possible to replace the kernel $\widetilde{K}^N_{V,x_0}$ by an appropriate sine-kernel using the asymptotics (5.7) in the cumulant formulae. Namely, for any $n \geq 2$,

$$\mathrm{C}^n_{K^N_V}[f_N] \simeq \mathrm{C}^n_{K^{\sin}_{\eta_N(x_0)}}[f\circ\zeta_N] \tag{5.10}$$

where

$$\zeta_N(x) = N^{\alpha}\left\{G_V\left(F_V(x_0) + \varrho_V(x_0)\frac{x}{N^{\alpha}}\right) - x_0\right\}. \tag{5.11}$$

Here, the function G_V denotes the inverse of the integrated density of sates F_V, (5.6). By the inverse function theorem, it exists in a neighborhood of any point $F_V(x_0)$ when $x_0 \in \mathscr{I}_V$ and the map ζ_N is well-defined on any compact subset of $\mathbb{R}$ as long as the parameter N is sufficiently large. The combinatorics of the cumulants of linear statistics of a determinantal process are associated with the map Υ_0, see formulae (2.5)–(2.6). However, we deduce from the proof of proposition 2.2 in [34] that a similar asymptotics holds for any function $\Upsilon : \mathcal{U} \to \mathbb{R}$. In particular, we get for any $m \geq 1$,

$$\int_{\substack{\mathbb{R}^n\\ x_0=x_n}} \Upsilon^n_m[f](x)\prod_{j\leq n}\widetilde{K}^N_{V,x_0}(x_j,x_{j-1})d^nx \;\simeq\; \int_{\substack{\mathfrak{X}^n\\ x_0=x_n}} \Upsilon^n_m[h_N](x)\prod_{1\leq j\leq n} K^{\sin}_{\eta_N}(x_j,x_{j-1})d^nx \tag{5.12}$$

where $h_N = f\circ\zeta_N$.

Proposition 5.2. *Suppose that* $\mathrm{supp}(f) \subset (-L, L)$. *There exists* $N_0 > 0$ *such that for all* $N \geq N_0$, *the functions* $h_N = f \circ \zeta_N$ *are well-defined on* $\mathbb{R}$, $h_N \in C_0^2([-L, L])$ *and for all* $u \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\big|\widehat{h_N}(u)\big| \leq \|f\|_{\mathcal{C}^2(\mathbb{R})} \frac{C}{1+|u|^2}. \tag{5.13}$$

Proof. When the potential V is analytic, the *bulk* $\mathscr{I}_V$ is composed on finitely many open intervals and the equilibrium density ϱ_V is smooth on $\mathscr{I}_V$. Since $x_0 \in \mathscr{I}_V$, by formula (5.11), the function ζ_N is increasing and smooth on the interval $[-L, L]$, and we have

$$\zeta_N''(x) = \varrho_V(x_0)^2 G_V''\big(F_V(x_0) + \varrho_V(x_0) x N^{-\alpha}\big) N^{-\alpha}.$$

Moreover, since $\zeta_N(0) = 0$ and $\zeta_N'(0) = G_V'\big(F_V(x_0)\big)\varrho_V(x_0) = 1$, this implies that

$$\zeta_N(x) = x + \underset{N\to\infty}{O}(N^{-\alpha})$$

uniformly for all $x \in [-L, L]$. Since the interval $(-L, L)$ contains the support of the test function f, this estimate shows that when the parameter N is large, we can define $h_N(x) = f\big(\zeta_N(x)\big)$ for all $x \in [-L, L]$ and extend it by 0 on $\mathbb{R}\backslash[-L, L]$. Then $h_N \in C_0^2(\mathbb{R})$ and

$$h_N''(x) = \zeta_N''(x) f'(\zeta_N(x)) + \zeta_N'(x)^2 f''(\zeta_N(x)) \tag{5.14}$$

for all $x \in [-L, L]$. Moreover, we can use the estimate

$$\big|\widehat{h_N}(u)\big| \leq C \frac{\|h_N\|_\infty + \|h_N''\|_\infty}{1+|u|^2} \tag{5.15}$$

to get the upper-bound (5.13). Plainly $\|h_N\|_\infty \leq \|f\|_\infty$ and it is easy to deduce from formula (5.14) that

$$\|h_N''\|_\infty \leq \|f'\|_\infty + C\|f''\|_\infty.$$

□

For any $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and for any composition $\mathbf{k} \vdash n$, define

$$\Psi_u(\mathbf{k}) = 2 \max_{1\leq j<\ell(\mathbf{k})} \{0, u_1 + \cdots + u_{k_1+\cdots+k_j}\}.$$

To compute the limit of the RHS of (5.12), we need the following asymptotics.

Lemma 5.3. *Let* $n \geq 2$ *and let* $\eta_N > 0$ *such that* $\eta_N \nearrow \infty$. *Suppose that* h_N *is a sequence of integrable functions such that*

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \int_{\substack{\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \\ u_1+\cdots+u_n=0 \\ |u_1|+\cdots+|u_n|>\eta_N}} \big|\widehat{h_N}(u_1)\cdots\widehat{h_N}(u_n)\big| |u_1| d^{n-1}\mathrm{u} = 0. \tag{5.16}$$

Then, for any map $\Upsilon : \mathcal{U} \to \mathbb{R}$ *such that* $\sum_{\mathbf{k}\vdash n} \Upsilon(\mathbf{k}) = 0$*, we have*

$$\int_{\substack{\mathfrak{X}^n \\ x_0=x_n}} \Upsilon^n[h_N](x) \prod_{1\leq j\leq n} K_{\eta_N}^{\sin}(x_j, x_{j-1}) d^n x \simeq - \int_{\substack{\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \\ u_1+\cdots+u_n=0}} \Re\Big\{ \prod_{j=1}^{n} \widehat{h_N}(u_j) \Big\} \sum_{\mathbf{k}\vdash n} \Upsilon(\mathbf{k}) \Psi_u(\mathbf{k}) d^{n-1}u. \tag{5.17}$$

Proof. The argument is the same as the proof of Lemma 1 in Soshnikov's paper [43] on linear statistics of the CUE, see also [46] or section 2.1 in [31]. Based on the formula

$$K_{\eta_N}^{\sin}(x,y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbf{1}_{\{|u|<\eta_N/2\}} e^{2\pi i u(x-y)} du,$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathscr{T}_N &:= \int_{\substack{\mathfrak{X}^n \\ x_0=x_n}} \Upsilon^n[h_N](x) \prod_{1\leq j\leq n} K_{\eta_N}^{\sin}(x_j, x_{j-1}) d^n x \\ &= \int_{\substack{\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \\ u_1+\cdots+u_n=0}} \prod_{j=1}^{n} \widehat{h_N}(u_j) \sum_{\mathbf{k}\vdash n} \Upsilon(\mathbf{k}) \max\big\{0, \eta_N - \Psi_u(\mathbf{k})/2 - \Psi_{-u}(\mathbf{k})/2\big\} d^{n-1}u.\end{aligned}$$

Then, the condition $\sum_{\mathbf{k}\vdash n} \Upsilon(\mathbf{k}) = 0$ implies that

$$\begin{aligned}&\Big| \mathscr{T}_N + \int_{\substack{\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \\ u_1+\cdots+u_n=0}} \prod_{j=1}^{n} \widehat{h_N}(u_j) \sum_{\mathbf{k}\vdash n} \Upsilon(\mathbf{k}) \frac{\Psi_u(\mathbf{k}) + \Psi_{-u}(\mathbf{k})}{2} d^{n-1}u \Big| \\ &\leq \int_{\substack{\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \\ u_1+\cdots+u_n=0}} \Big| \prod_{j=1}^{n} \widehat{h_N}(u_j) \Big| \sum_{\mathbf{k}\vdash n} |\Upsilon(\mathbf{k})| \Psi_u(\mathbf{k}) \mathbf{1}_{\{\Psi_u(\mathbf{k})+\Psi_{-u}(\mathbf{k})\geq 2\eta_N\}} d^{n-1}u\end{aligned}$$

Since $\big|\Psi_u(\mathbf{k})/2\big| \leq |u_1|+\cdots+|u_n|$ for any $\mathbf{k}\vdash n$, the condition (5.16) is sufficient to obtain formula (5.17). □

Proof of theorem 5.1. Using the estimate (5.13), we see that when the parameter is sufficiently large, there exists a constant $C > 0$ so that

$$\begin{aligned}&\Big| \int_{\substack{\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \\ u_1+\cdots+u_n=0}} \Re\Big\{ \prod_{j=1}^{n} \widehat{h_N}(u_j) \Big\} \sum_{\mathbf{k}\vdash n} \Upsilon_m(\mathbf{k}) \Psi_u(\mathbf{k}) d^{n-1}u \Big| \\ &\qquad \leq C \int_{\substack{\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \\ u_1+\cdots+u_n=0}} \frac{|u_1|+\cdots+|u_n|}{(1+|u_1|^2)\cdots(1+|u_n|^2)} d^{n-1}u, \end{aligned} \tag{5.18}$$

A similar upper-bound shows that the sequence $h_N = f \circ \zeta_N$ satisfies the condition (5.16) of lemma 5.3. Hence, combining the asymptotics (5.12) and (5.17),

we obtain

$$\int_{\substack{\mathbb{R}^n\\ x_0=x_n}} \Upsilon_m^n[f](x)\prod_{j\le n}\widetilde{K}_{V,x_0}^N(x_j,x_{j-1})d^nx \tag{5.19}$$
$$\simeq -\int_{\substack{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}\\ u_1+\cdots+u_n=0}} \Re\Big\{\prod_{j=1}^n \widehat{h_N}(u_j)\Big\}\sum_{\mathbf{k}\vdash n}\Upsilon_m(\mathbf{k})\Psi_u(\mathbf{k})d^{n-1}u.$$

Thus, by (5.18), the integral (5.19) is uniformly bounded in N for any $m\ge 1$. This property combined with the asymptotics (5.8) and formula (5.5) implies that for all $n\ge 2$,

$$\mathrm{C}^n_{\widehat{K}_V^N}[f_N]=\mathrm{C}^n_{K_V^N}[f_N]+\gamma_1^n q_N N^{1-\alpha}\varrho_V(x_0)\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)^n dx+\underset{N\to\infty}{O}(q_N^2N^{1-\alpha}). \tag{5.20}$$

Then, using the asymptotics (5.10) and Soshnikov's main combinatorial lemma, it was proved in [34] that

$$\lim_{N\to\infty}\mathrm{C}^n_{K_V^N}[f_N]=\begin{cases}\displaystyle\int_0^\infty u\big|\hat{f}(u)\big|^2du & \text{if } n=2\\ 0 & \text{if } n\ge 3\end{cases}.$$

Hence, the expansion (5.20) yields the weak convergence results (5.2)–(5.4) in the different regimes. □

Appendix A Incomplete determinantal processes

In this appendix, we review some background material on determinantal processes and we give an alternative proof of the results of Bohigas and Pato, [8], that the incomplete process is determinantal with correlation kernel $p_N K_V^N(z,w)$. There are many excellent surveys about determinantal processes and we refer to [42, 32, 29, 9] for further details and an overview of the main examples.
Let $\mathfrak{X}$ be a complete separable metric space equipped with a Radon measure μ. The configuration space $\mathscr{Q}(\mathfrak{X})$ is the set of integer-valued locally finite Borel measure on $\mathfrak{X}$ equipped with the topology which is generated by the maps

$$\mathscr{Q}(\mathfrak{X})\ni\Xi\mapsto\Xi_A:=\int_A d\Xi$$

for every Borel set $A\subseteq\mathfrak{X}$. A point process $\mathbb{P}$ is a Borel probability measure on the configuration space $\mathscr{Q}(\mathfrak{X})$. A point process can be characterized by its correlation functions $(\rho_n)_{n=1}^\infty$. If it exists, ρ_n is a symmetric function on $\mathfrak{X}^n$ which satisfies the identity

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\binom{\Xi_{A_1}}{k_1}\cdots\binom{\Xi_{A_\ell}}{k_\ell}\right]=\frac{1}{k_1!\cdots k_\ell!}\int_{A_1^{k_1}\times\cdots\times A_\ell^{k_\ell}}\rho_n(x_1,\ldots,x_n)d\mu^n(x) \tag{A.1}$$

for all composition $\mathbf{k} \vdash n$ and for all disjoint Borel sets $A_1, \dots, A_\ell \subseteq \mathfrak{X}$. A point process is called **determinantal** if all its correlation functions exist are given by

$$\rho_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \det_{n \times n} \big[K(x_i, x_j)\big]. \tag{A.2}$$

The function $K : \mathfrak{X} \times \mathfrak{X} \to \mathbb{C}$ is called the **correlation kernel**. For instance, given random points $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N)$ with the joint density $G_N(x) = e^{-\beta \mathscr{H}_V^N(x)}/Z_V^N$ on $\mathfrak{X}^N$, see (1.2), the random measure $\sum_{k=1}^N \delta_{\lambda_k}$ defines a point process and its correlation functions satisfy $\rho_N = N! G_N$ and

$$\rho_k(x_1, \dots, x_k) = \frac{N!}{(N-k)!} \int G_N(x_1, \dots, x_N) dx_{k+1} \cdots dx_N \tag{A.3}$$

for all $k < N$. When $\beta = 2$, it is easy to verify that

$$G_N(x) = \frac{1}{N!} \det_{N \times N} \big[K_V^N(x_i, x_j)\big]$$

and that, by formula (A.3), the process Ξ is determinantal with the correlation kernel K_V^N given by (1.3). In general, if $K \in L^2(\mathfrak{X} \times \mathfrak{X}, \mu \times \mu)$ is a symmetric function which satisfies the property (2.1), then there exists a determinantal process on $\mathfrak{X}$ with correlation kernel K; c.f. [42, Theorem 3].

Let $q \in (0, 1)$ and $p = 1 - q$. Recall that X is a Binomial random variable with parameter p and $N \in \mathbb{N}$ if

$$\mathbb{P}[X = n] = \binom{N}{n} p^n q^{N-n}$$

for all $n = 0, \dots, N$. Moreover, we can check that for any $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\binom{X}{k}\right] = p^k \binom{N}{k}. \tag{A.4}$$

Let Ξ be a random (point) configuration and let $\widehat{\Xi}$ be the configuration obtained after performing a Bernoulli percolation on Ξ. By construction, for any disjoint Borel set $A \subseteq \mathfrak{X}$, the conditional distribution of the random variable $\widehat{\Xi}_A$ given Ξ has a Binomial distribution with parameters p and Ξ_A and it is statistically independent of Ξ_B for any Borel set B disjoint of A. By formula (A.4), this implies that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\binom{\widehat{\Xi}_{A_1}}{k_1} \cdots \binom{\widehat{\Xi}_{A_\ell}}{k_\ell} \middle| \Xi_A = N_1, \dots, \Xi_A = N_\ell\right] = p^n \binom{N_1}{k_1} \cdots \binom{N_\ell}{k_\ell}$$

for any composition $\mathbf{k} \vdash n$ and for all disjoint Borel sets $A_1, \dots, A_\ell \subseteq \mathfrak{X}$. Hence, we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\binom{\widehat{\Xi}_{A_1}}{k_1} \cdots \binom{\widehat{\Xi}_{A_\ell}}{k_\ell}\right] = p^n \mathbb{E}\left[\binom{\Xi_{A_1}}{k_1} \cdots \binom{\Xi_{A_\ell}}{k_\ell}\right]$$

so that, by formula (A.1), the correlation functions of *the incomplete process* $\widehat{\Xi}$ are given by $p^n\rho_n(x_1,\dots,x_n)$ for all $n\geq 1$. In particular, we deduce from formula (A.2) that, if Ξ is a determinantal process with a correlation kernel K, then the point process $\widehat{\Xi}$ is also determinantal with kernel pK.

Appendix B Off-diagonal decay of the correlation kernel K_V^N in dimension 2

In this section, we review some classical estimates for the correlation kernel (1.3) which have been used in [2] to prove the CLT (2.13). Then, we prove lemma 3.3 and an analogous result for the cumulants of the ∞-Ginibre process. For completeness, we also give the proof of lemma 3.2. We will use the formulation of section 5 in [2] but the estimates (B.1) and (B.2) go back to the papers [6] and [1]. Suppose that the potential $V:\mathbb{C}\to\mathbb{R}$ is real-analytic and satisfies the condition (1.1). Then, there a function $\phi_V:\mathbb{C}\to\mathbb{R}^+$ such that $\phi_V(z)\geq \nu\log|z|^2$ as $|z|\to\infty$ and some constants $C,c,\delta>0$ such that

$$\left|K_V^N(z,w)\right|\leq CNe^{-c\sqrt{N}(|z-w|\wedge\delta)}, \tag{B.1}$$

and

$$K_V^N(z,z)\leq CNe^{-N\phi_V(z)}, \tag{B.2}$$

for all $w\in\mathscr{S}$ and $z\in\mathbb{C}$.

Proof of lemma 3.3. We use the convention $z_0=z_{n+1}$. Since the kernel K_V^N is reproducing, by the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality,

$$\left|K_V^N(z,w)\right|\leq\sqrt{K_V^N(z,z)K_V^N(w,w)},$$

for all $z,w\in\mathbb{C}$, so that

$$\left|\prod_{j=0}^n K_V^N(z_j,z_{j+1})\right|\leq\left|K_V^N(z_0,z_1)\right|\sqrt{K_V^N(z_0,z_0)K_V^N(z_1,z_1)}\prod_{j=2}^n K_V^N(z_j,z_j).$$

Since $\displaystyle\int_{\mathbb{C}}K_V^N(z,z)d\mathrm{A}(z)=N$ and $K_V^N(z,z)\leq CN$ (see the estimate (B.2)), we obtain

$$\int_{\substack{\mathbb{C}^n\times\mathscr{S}\\ |z_1-z_{n+1}|>\epsilon_N}}\left|\prod_{j=0}^n K_V^N(z_j,z_{j+1})\right|d\mathrm{A}^{n+1}(\mathrm{z})\leq CN^n\int_{\substack{\mathscr{S}\times\mathbb{C}\\ |z_1-z_0|>\epsilon_N}}\left|K_V^N(z_0,z_1)\right|d\mathrm{A}(z_0)d\mathrm{A}(z_1).$$

Then, it easy to check that the estimates (B.1) and (B.2) imply that

$$\int_{\substack{\mathscr{S}\times\mathbb{C}\\ |z_1-z_0|>\epsilon_N}}\left|K_V^N(z_0,z_1)\right|d\mathrm{A}(z_0)d\mathrm{A}(z_1)\leq CNe^{-c\sqrt{N}\epsilon_N}.$$

Hence, if $\epsilon_N = \kappa N^{-1/2} \log N$ and $\kappa \geq (n+1)/c$, we obtain

$$\int\limits_{\substack{\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathscr{S} \\ |z_1 - z_{n+1}| > \epsilon_N}} \left| \prod_{j=0}^{n} K_V^N(z_j, z_{j+1}) \right| d\mathrm{A}^{n+1}(\mathrm{z}) = \underset{N\to\infty}{O}(N^{-1}). \tag{B.3}$$

Moreover, since $\sup\{|F_N(z_0, \mathrm{z})| : \mathrm{z} \in \mathbb{C}^n, N \geq N_0\} \leq C\mathbf{1}_{z_0 \in \mathscr{S}}$ by (3.6), the estimate (B.3) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int\limits_{\substack{\mathbb{C}^{n+1} \\ z_0 = z_{n+1}}} F_N(\mathrm{z}) \prod_{j=0}^{n} K_V^N(z_j, z_{j+1}) d\mathrm{A}^{n+1}(\mathrm{z}) \qquad (B.4) \\ &\qquad = \int\limits_{\substack{\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathscr{S} \\ |z_1 - z_{n+1}| \leq \epsilon_N}} F_N(\mathrm{z}) \prod_{j=0}^{n} K_V^N(z_j, z_{j+1}) \, d\mathrm{A}^{n+1}(\mathrm{z}) + \underset{N\to\infty}{O}(N^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Now, we can proceed by induction to get formula (3.7). If $\mathscr{C}_N = \{\mathrm{z} \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} : z_{n+1} \in \mathscr{S}, |z_1 - z_{n+1}| \leq \epsilon_N\}$, the next step is to show that

$$\int\limits_{\substack{\mathscr{C}_N \\ |z_2 - z_1| > \epsilon_N}} \left| \prod_{j=0}^{n} K_V^N(z_j, z_{j+1}) \right| d\mathrm{A}^{n+1}(\mathrm{z}) = \underset{N\to\infty}{O}(N^{-1}). \tag{B.5}$$

Since the set $\mathscr{S}_V$ is open, there exists a compact set $\mathscr{S}' \subset \mathscr{S}_V$ such that $\mathscr{S} \subset \mathscr{S}'$ and $\mathscr{C}_N \subset \{\mathrm{z} \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} : z_{n+1}, z_1 \in \mathscr{S}'\}$ when the parameter N is sufficiently large. Then, as before, we obtain

$$\int\limits_{\substack{\mathscr{C}_N \\ |z_2 - z_1| > \epsilon_N}} \left| \prod_{j=0}^{n} K_V^N(z_j, z_{j+1}) \right| d\mathrm{A}^{n+1}(\mathrm{z}) \leq CN^n \int\limits_{\substack{\mathscr{S}' \times \mathbb{C} \\ |z_2 - z_1| > \epsilon_N}} \left| K_V^N(z_1, z_2) \right| d\mathrm{A}(z_0) d\mathrm{A}(z_1),$$

and formula (B.5) also follows directly from the estimate (B.3). Hence, by formula (B.4), this implies that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int\limits_{\substack{\mathbb{C}^{n+1} \\ z_0 = z_{n+1}}} F_N(\mathrm{z}) \prod_{j=0}^{n} K_V^N(z_j, z_{j+1}) d\mathrm{A}^{n+1}(\mathrm{z}) \\ &\qquad = \int\limits_{\substack{\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathscr{S} \\ |z_1 - z_{n+1}| \leq \epsilon_N \\ |z_2 - z_1| \leq \epsilon_N}} F_N(\mathrm{z}) \prod_{j=0}^{n} K_V^N(z_j, z_{j+1}) \, d\mathrm{A}^{n+1}(\mathrm{z}) + \underset{N\to\infty}{O}(N^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

If we repeat this argument, we obtain (3.7). □

Lemma B.1. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $w_0 = w_{n+1} = 0$, and let $H(\mathrm{w})$ be a polynomial of degree at most 2 in the variables $w_1, \ldots, w_n, \overline{w_1}, \ldots, \overline{w_n}$. For any sequence*

$\delta_N \geq k\rho_N^{-1/2}\sqrt{\log \rho_N}$ *with* $k > 0$*, we have*

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}^n} H(\mathrm{w}) \prod_{j=0}^{n} K_{\rho_N}^{\infty}(w_j, w_{j+1})\ d\mathrm{A}^n(\mathrm{w}) = \int_{\mathscr{A}(0;\delta_N)} H(\mathrm{w}) \prod_{j=0}^{n} K_{\rho_N}^{\infty}(w_j, w_{j+1})\ d\mathrm{A}^n(\mathrm{w}) + \underset{N\to\infty}{O}(\rho_N^{1-k^2/2})$$

where the set $\mathscr{A}(0;\delta_N)$ *is given by formula* (3.5)*.*

Proof. We will first show that

$$\int_{\substack{\mathbb{C}^n \\ |w_1|>\delta_N}} H(\mathrm{w}) \prod_{j=0}^{n} K_{\rho_N}^{\infty}(w_j, w_{j+1})\ d\mathrm{A}^n(\mathrm{w}) = \underset{N\to\infty}{O}\big(\rho_N e^{-\rho_N\delta_N^2/2}\big). \tag{B.6}$$

First, notice that if $H = 1$, since $w_0 = 0$, by formula (3.13), we have

$$\int_{\substack{\mathbb{C}^n \\ |w_1|>\delta_N}} \left| \prod_{j=0}^{n} K_{\rho_N}^{\infty}(w_j, w_{j+1}) \right| d\mathrm{A}^n(\mathrm{w}) \leq e^{-\rho_N\delta_N^2/2}\rho_N^{n+1} \underbrace{\int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \prod_{j=1}^{n} e^{-\rho_N|v_j|^2/2} d\mathrm{A}^n(\mathrm{v})}_{=\rho_N^{-n}}.$$

In general, there exists a constant $C > 0$ which only depends on the polynomial H so that

$$\big|H(\mathrm{w})\big| \leq C\big\{1 + |w_1|^2 + \cdots + |w_n|^2\big\}$$

or

$$\big|H(\mathrm{w})\big| \leq C\big\{1 + |w_2 - w_1|^2 + \cdots + |w_{n-1} - w_n|^2 + |w_n|^2\big\}. \tag{B.7}$$

Since, for any $k = 1, \ldots, n$,

$$\int_{\substack{\mathbb{C}^n \\ |w_1|>\delta_N}} |w_k - w_{k+1}|^2 \left| \prod_{j=0}^{n} K_{\rho_N}^{\infty}(w_j, w_{j+1}) \right| d\mathrm{A}^n(\mathrm{w}) \leq e^{-\rho_N\delta_N^2/2} \underbrace{\rho_N^{n+1} \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |v_k|^2 \prod_{j=1}^{n} e^{-\rho_N|v_j|^2/2} d\mathrm{A}^n(\mathrm{v})}_{=1},$$

the estimate (B.6) follows directly from (B.7) and the leading contribution comes from the constant term. If we use the estimate

$$\big|H(\mathrm{w})\big| \leq C\left\{1 + |w_1|^2 + \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j\neq k}}^{n} |w_{j+1} - w_j|^2 + |w_n|^2\right\}$$

instead, the same argument shows that for any $k = 1, \ldots n$,

$$\int_{|w_k - w_{k+1}|>\delta_N} H(\mathrm{w}) \prod_{j=0}^{n} K_{\rho_N}^{\infty}(w_j, w_{j+1})\ d\mathrm{A}^n(\mathrm{w}) = \underset{N\to\infty}{O}\big(\rho_N e^{-\rho_N\delta_N^2/2}\big).$$

Hence, the lemma follows from applying a union bound and from the choice of the sequence δ_N. □

Proof of lemma 3.2. The map $(z,w) \mapsto \Psi(z,w)$ is bi-holomorphic in a neighborhood of $(x_0, \overline{x_0})$, so there exists $0 < \epsilon < 1$ such that for all $|u|, |v| \leq \epsilon$,

$$\Psi(x_0 + u, \overline{x_0} + v) = \sum_{k,j \geq 0} a_{kj} u^k v^j.$$

By definition, $\overline{\Psi(z,w)} = \Psi(\overline{w}, \overline{z})$, so that the coefficients of the previous power series are Hermitian-symmetric: $a_{kj} = \overline{a_{jk}}$ for all $k, j \geq 0$. Moreover, by definition, we have

$$a_{11} = \partial_z \overline{\partial}_z V|_{z=x_0} = \Delta V(x_0) = \frac{b_0(x_0, \overline{x_0})}{2}. \tag{B.8}$$

Let

$$\mathfrak{h}(u) = i \sum_{k>0} \left\{ a_{k0} u^k - a_{0k} \overline{u}^k \right\} = -2\Im \Big\{ \sum_{k>0} a_{k0} u^k \Big\}.$$

Since $V(x_0 + u) = \Psi(x_0 + u, \overline{x_0} + \overline{u})$, we see that for any $|u|, |v| \leq \epsilon$,

$$\begin{aligned} &2\Psi(x_0 + u, \overline{x_0} + \overline{v}) - V(x_0 + u) - V(x_0 + v) \\ &\qquad = -i\{\mathfrak{h}(u) - \mathfrak{h}(v)\} + a_{11}\big(2u\overline{v} - |\overline{u}|^2 - |\overline{v}|^2\big) + O(\epsilon^3). \end{aligned}$$

By formula (3.1), this implies that for any $|u|, |v| \leq \epsilon_N = \log(N^\kappa) N^{-1/2}$,

$$\frac{B^N(x_0 + u, x_0 + v) e^{iN\mathfrak{h}(u)}}{e^{iN\mathfrak{h}(v)}} = N b_0(x_0, \overline{x_0}) e^{N a_{11}(2u\overline{v} - |\overline{u}|^2 - |\overline{v}|^2)} \left\{ 1 + \underset{N\to\infty}{O}\big((\log N)^2 \epsilon_N\big) \right\}.$$

By formula (B.8) and the definition of the ∞-Ginibre kernel, it completes the proof. □

References

[1] Y. Ameur, H. Hedenmalm, and N. Makarov, *Berezin transform in polynomial Bergman spaces*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 63, (2010), 1533–1584.

[2] Y. Ameur, H. Hedenmalm, and N. Makarov, *Fluctuations of eigenvalues of random normal matrices*, Duke Math. J. 159, (2011), 31–81.

[3] Y. Ameur, H. Hedenmalm, and N. Makarov, *Random normal matrices and Ward identities*, Ann. Probab. 43, (2015), 1157–1201.

[4] F. Bekerman and A. Lodhia, *Mesoscopic central limit theorem for general β-ensembles*. arXiv:1605.05206

[5] T. Berggren and M. Duits, *Mesoscopic fluctuations for the thinned Circular Unitary Ensemble*. arXiv:1611.00991

[6] R.J. Berman, *Bergman kernels for weighted polynomials and weighted equilibrium measures of* $\mathbb{C}^n$, Indiana U. Math. J. 58, (2009), 1921–1946.

[7] O. Bohigas and M. P. Pato, *Missing levels and correlated spectra*, Phys. Lett. B 595, (2004), 171–176.

[8] O. Bohigas and M. P. Pato, *Randomly incomplete spectra and intermediate statistics*, Phys. Rev. E (3) 74, (2006) 036212.

[9] A. Borodin, *Determinantal point processes*, in *The Oxford handbook of random matrix theory*, Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, 2011, 231–249.

[10] G. Borot and A. Guionnet, *Asymptotic expansion of beta matrix models in the one-cut regime*, Comm. Math. Phys. 317 (2), (2013), 447–483.

[11] G. Borot and A. Guionnet, *Asymptotic expansion of beta matrix models in the multi-cut regime*. arXiv:1303.1045.

[12] T. Bothner, P. Deift, A. Its, and I. Krasovsky, *On the asymptotic behavior of a log gas in the bulk scaling limit in the presence of a varying external potential I*, Comm. Math. Phys. 337, (2015), 1397–1463.

[13] T. Bothner, P. Deift, A. Its, and I. Krasovsky, *On the asymptotic behavior of a log gas in the bulk scaling limit in the presence of a varying external potential II*. arXiv:1512.02883

[14] J. Breuer and M. Duits, *Universality of mesoscopic fluctuations for orthogonal polynomial ensembles*, Comm. Math. Phys. 342, (2016), 491–531.

[15] J. Breuer and M. Duits, *Central Limit Theorems for biorthogonal Ensembles and asymptotics of recurrence coefficients*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 30 No. 1 (2017), 27–66.

[16] C. Charlier and T. Claeys, *Thinning and conditioning of the Circular Unitary Ensemble* arXiv:1604.08399.

[17] O. Costin and J. Lebowitz, *Gaussian fluctuations in random matrices*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 75, (1995), 69–72.

[18] D. S. Dean, P. L. Doussal, S. N. Majumdar, and G. Schehr, *Finite temperature free fermions and the Kardar-Parisi-Zhang equation at finite time*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 114, (2015).

[19] P. Deift, *Integrable operators* in *Differential operators and spectral theory*, volume 189 of Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2, Providence, RI, Amer. Math. Soc., (1999), 69–84.

[20] P. Deift, T. Kriecherbauer, K.T.-R. McLaughlin, S. Venakides, and X. Zhou, *Uniform asymptotics for polynomials orthogonal with respect to varying exponential weights and applications to universality questions in random matrix theory*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 52 (11), (1999), 1335–1425.

[21] M. Duits and K. Johansson, *On mesoscopic equilibrium for linear statistics in Dyson's Brownian motion.* arXiv:1312.4295.

[22] F. J. Dyson, *The Coulomb fluid and the fifth Painlevé transcendent*, in Chen Ning Yang: A Great Physicist of the Twentieth Century Int. Press, Cambridge, MA, 1995, 131–146.

[23] L. Erdős and A. Knowles, *The Altshuler-Shklovskii formulas for random band matrices I: the unimodular case*, Comm. Math. Phy. 333, (2015), pp. 1365–1416.

[24] L. Erdős and A. Knowles, *The Altshuler-Shklovskii formulas for random band matrices II: the general case*, Ann. Henri Poincaré 16, (2015), pp. 709–799.

[25] Y. He and A. Knowles, *Mesoscopic eigenvalue statistics of Wigner matrices.* arXiv:1603.01499, 2016.

[26] B. Hough, M. Krishnapur, Y. Peres, and B. Virág, *Determinantal Processes and Independence*, Probab. Surv. 3, (2006), 206–229.

[27] K. Johansson, *On fluctuations of eigenvalues of random Hermitian matrices*, Duke Math. J. 91, (1998), 151–204.

[28] K. Johansson, *Universality of the local spacing distribution in certain ensembles of Hermitian Wigner matrices*, Comm. Math. Phys. 215, (2001), 683–705.

[29] K. Johansson, *Random matrices and determinantal processes*, in Mathematical statistical physics, Elsevier B. V., Amsterdam, 2006, 1–55.

[30] K. Johansson, *From Gumble to Tracy-Widom*, Probab. Theory Relat. Fields 138, (2007), 75–112.

[31] K. Johansson and G. Lambert, *Gaussian and non-Gaussian fluctuations for mesoscopic linear statistics in determinantal processes.* arXiv:1504.06455.

[32] W. König, *Orthogonal polynomial ensembles in probability theory*, Probab. Surveys 2, (2005), 385–447.

[33] A.B.J. Kuijlaars, *Universality* in *The Oxford handbook of random matrix theory*, Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, 2011, 103–134.

[34] G. Lambert, *Mesoscopic fluctuations for unitary invariant ensembles*. arXiv:1510.03641.

[35] G. Lambert, *CLT for biorthogonal ensembles and related combinatorial identities*. arXiv:1511.06121.

[36] A. Lodhia and N. J. Simm, *Mesoscopic linear statistics of Wigner matrices*. arXiv:1503.03533.

[37] L.A. Pastur, *Limiting laws of linear eigenvalue statistics for Hermitian matrix models*, J. Math. Phys. 47, (2006).

[38] L.A. Pastur and M. Shcherbina, *Eigenvalue Distribution of Large Random Matrices*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs 171, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2011.

[39] B. Rider and B. Virág, *Complex determinantal processes and H^1 noise*, Electron. J. Probab. 12, (2007), 1238–1257.

[40] B. Rider and B. Virág, *The noise in the circular law and the Gaussian free field*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN (2007).

[41] M. Shcherbina, *Fluctuations of linear eigenvalue statistics of β-matrix models in the multi-cut regime*, J. Stat. Phys. 151, (2013), 1004–1034.

[42] A. Soshnikov, *Determinantal random point fields*, Russian Math. Surv. 55, (2000), 923–975.

[43] A. Soshnikov, *The Central Limit Theorem for local linear statistics in classical compact groups and related combinatorial identities*, Ann. Probab. 28, (2000), 1353–1370.

[44] A. Soshnikov, *Gaussian limit for determinantal random point fields*, Ann. Probab. 30, (2001), 1–17.

[45] T. Spencer, *Random banded and sparse matrices* in *The Oxford handbook of random matrix theory*, Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, 2011, 471–488.

[46] H. Spohn, *Interacting brownian particles: A study of Dyson's model*, Hydrodynamic Behavior and Interacting Particle Systems, (1987).